

Articles

Giuliano Castagna 

Beijing Normal University, Zhuhai, China | fpick@hotmail.co.uk

On Some Ichthyonyms in the Jibbali/Shehret Dialect of al-Ḥallāniya (Kuria Muria Archipelago) with Dhofari Arabic Equivalents

Abstract This paper presents some ichthyonyms (fish names) in the Jibbali/Shehret dialect spoken on the island of al-Ḥallāniya in the Kuria Muria archipelago (Dhofar, Sultanate of Oman), with equivalents in the variety of Dhofari Arabic spoken by the inhabitants of the island. Most of these ichthyonyms correspond to sea creatures that can be found in the waters around the island. The analysis of this lexical material reveals its mixed origin: although most of the tokens analysed are either of Modern South Arabian or Arabic origin, a significant number of the ichthyonyms in question seems to find no parallel in the local languages. This offers an opportunity to look at the wider Indian ocean and its historically prominent trade network as a possible source for these lexical items.

Keywords Ichthyonymy, fish names, Kuria Muria, Jibbali, Shahri, Modern South Arabian

1 Introduction

The study of the Jibbali/Shehret dialect of al-Ḥallāniya, the only currently inhabited island of the Kuria Muria archipelago in the Dhofar governorate of the Sultanate of Oman, officially called Ḡuzur al-Ḥallāniyāt, has received sparse attention to date: the first report of this variety was made by the British naval officer J.G. Hulton, who published a description of the island and a word list containing 103 terms that he elicited personally from the islanders. He conclud-



ed that the language was essentially a form of ‘Shahree’ (Hulton 1840). It was not until a century later that this language variety stirred some interest, when Wolf Leslau re-analysed Hulton’s materials and confirmed his conclusions (Leslau 1947). However, subsequent mentions of the Kuria Muria dialect are scanty: in the introduction of the *Jibbāli Lexicon*, Johnstone introduces the epithet ‘baby Jibbali’ by which the Kuria Muria dialect was (and still is) known by mainland speakers, as ‘they pronounce the letters ś and ź as ʈ and ɖ, etc’ (1981: xii).

The first systematic analysis of Hulton’s wordlist is found in one of Rubin’s 2014 papers (Rubin 2014b). In this study, the author traces a series of convincing etymological parallels between the Kuria Muria dialect, mainland Jibbali/Shehret dialects and other Modern South Arabian languages. He also attempts, based on Hulton’s transcription, to ascertain whether the shift of lateral sibilants to interdentals actually is a distinguishing trait of this dialect, and concludes that ‘ʈ was a free variant of ś at this time. It is just as likely, however, that *th* was another attempt to write the sound ś. So, if Johnstone’s statement is true for the dialect as spoken in the 1970s, it was not true—at least not completely—in 1836’ (2014b: 483).

However, more recent studies (Castagna 2018; 2022a; 2022b) showed that this much-discussed (but seldom directly documented) shift actually is a general (but non-universal) tendency of speakers from al-Ḥallāniya to realise all sibilants, lateral and non-lateral alike, as interdentals (Castagna 2018: 233), so that, even within the same utterance, a speaker may (and, indeed, frequently does) utter the same word twice, with and without an etymological lateral sound.¹ In this work, additional phonological, morphological and syntactical peculiarities of this variety are described, but a full-fledged description of this and other Jibbali/Shehret dialects² is still a *desideratum*. Because of this *lacuna*, many aspects of the culture, uses and customs of al-Ḥallāniya are still completely undocumented.

Fishing is a prominent activity on the island, and whilst this is not surprising, one must stress the abundance of sea creatures in the waters around al-Ḥallāniya, which in the last decade has resulted in the emergence of a thriving tourist activity in the area, with specialised agencies catering to expert and amateur fishermen looking to catch large game-fish. However, fishing traditions on the island are much older than this recent development, as the livelihood of Kuria Muria islanders historically depended on their daily catches.

Mubarak al-Shahri (also known as *e-gziri* ‘the islander’ in the town of Sadḥ, in mainland Dhofar, where he has resided for more than 20 years), is the person who provided the data at the core of this study. He is a retired fisherman who was born in al-Ḥallāniya about 75 years ago and plied the waters of the Kuria Muria bay for most of his life. His knowledge of the sea creatures which live in the local

¹ For more details, see below 2.14.

² For example, the western dialects.

waters is extensive, as is his ability to steer and repair various types of boats. In 2017, I was lucky enough to spend a two-week period in Mubarak's company, who consented to be interviewed several times about his knowledge of the sea, as well as of the Jibbali/Shehret language as spoken on the island. During one of the above-mentioned sessions, he came up with a number of ichthyonyms in Jibbali/Shehret and Arabic, which are analysed in the present paper: in the following section, the data is presented in a summarising table. Subsequently, the lexical items are grammatically and etymologically analysed one by one. The most evident limitation of this study consists in the difficulty in identifying some of the creatures whose names are listed below, due to the lack of relevant visual stimuli in the elicitation process. In other words, the topic of fish names was brought up by the interviewee unexpectedly during an informal conversation, which means that the lexical materials examined here were mentioned by him in a cursory fashion, and their identification, when possible, was achieved by comparing them with cognate forms in the fish databases and/or in the published literature. Finally, the conclusions section summarises the findings of this study, and attempts to draw some generalisations about the ichthyonymy patterns in the linguistic variety of the island, whilst also taking into account the scant historical record.

2 Data and discussion

	English and/or scientific names	Arabic	Jibbali/Shehret
1	Spotted grouper / <i>epinephelus</i> , <i>aethaloperca</i> and <i>cephalopholis</i> genera	ḥāmūr	rétəḵ
2	Sky emperor, smalltooth emperor / <i>lethrinus mahsena</i> and <i>lethrinus microdon</i>	ḥudūr / šašri	ʕasét
3	Brown-spotted grouper / <i>epinephelus chlorostigma</i>	sammān	xəlxəl
4	Shark	(samak) ʔərš	ləxím / dība
5	Bluefish / <i>Pomatomus saltatrix</i>	taḵwa	taḵʕbít
6	Tuna / <i>Thunnus</i> genera	tuna	gédər / šérwi
7	Longtail tuna / <i>Thunnus tonggol</i>	/	təbbéna / sahwa
8	Sole fish	samak mūsā	mix
9	Whale	šəḥūṭa	šəbḥaṭat

	English and/or scientific names	Arabic	Jibbali/Shehret
10	Dolphin	/	dóx ^ə s
11	King soldierbream / <i>Argyrops spinifer</i>	ʕarīf / rabāba	kafaʕán
12	/	/	kēlét
13	Turtle	ʕahləfá	hūs ~ hūm ^ə s
14	Crayfish/Lobster	ʕarha	šíróx ~ tíróx
15	Sardine	sardín	ʕad
16	Golden trevally / <i>Gnathanodon speciosus</i>	bukʕ ~ baʕas	surumóm
71	Mackerel	kənsád	tanník ~ tarník
18	Barracuda	ʕaʕám ~ ʕagám	ʕaʕəbít ~ ʕaʕəmít
19	/	fakal	bedibéba
20	/	sammāt	səmméta ~ səmmáta
21	/	wuld al-ħamūr	məṭərút
22	/	wuld al-xuḍīr (ħuḍīr)	ʕasēnót
23	Perhaps onespotted porgy / <i>Diplodus sargus kotschyi</i> . Also others	abyaḍ / xanāfa	mērét
24	/	samak filipini	xēt
25	Manta and/or stingray	/	ṭəbbəʕa

Table 1. List of ichthyonyms analysed

2.1 rétaḵ

According to the interviewee, this is a rather big fish called *ħāmūr* in the local Arabic variety, but he does not add other details regarding its appearance. The Arabic name is comparable with the *Mahriyōt* term for the spotted grouper, genus *epinephelus*, *ħāmūr* (Geva Kleinberger 2009: 56). The same name is used in the

Arabic vernaculars of the Yemeni shores of the Arabian sea to designate various species of grouper in the *epinephelus*, *aethaloperca* and *cephalopholis* genera (Froese and Pauly 2024). The root \sqrt{rtk} is attested in Jibbali/Shehret as the Ga-stem verb *retšk* ‘to quickly put what one is carrying on the ground’ (MLZ: 362),³ although in actuality this verb seems to have no relevant semantic connection to the ichthyonym in question. See also below 2.21.

2.2 *ṣasét*

The interviewee only mentions the Arabic and Jibbali/Shehret names of this fish, without providing any indication as to its appearance: Arabic *ḥudīr* and *šasri*, and Jibbali/Shehret *ṣasét*. The Arabic name *šasri* designates the sky emperor (*lethrinus mahsena*) and the smalltooth emperor (*lethrinus microdon*) in the vernaculars of the Arabian sea (Froese and Pauly 2024). Compare also شعور for the same species in the Red Sea dialects (Tsfamichael and Saeed 2016: 232). Arabic *ḥudīr* and Jibbali/Shehret *ṣasét* find no parallel in the literature.

2.3 *xəlxəl*

The Arabic name *sammān* points to the brown-spotted grouper (*epinephelus chlo-rostigma*) in the dialects of the Red Sea (Tsfamichael and Saeed 2016: 231). The Jibbali/Shehret name *xəlxəl* is unreported in the literature, although the Soqotri colour adjective *ḥālḥal* (F. *ḥālḥel*) ‘gris’ can be formally compared with it (LS: 175; Lonnet 2008: 130). This adjective is also reported in the first volume of the *Corpus of Soqotri Oral Literature* as *ḥālḥal* ‘dark-brown (goat)’ (Naumkin et al. 2014: 557).

2.4 *ləxím / dība*

Names derived from the root \sqrt{lxm} for several shark species are well attested throughout Modern South Arabian (ML: 259; JL: 167; LS: 232; Geva Kleinberger 2009: 54; Morris and Gasparini forthcoming; Rubin 2012) and are not unheard of in Yemeni Arabic dialects (Tsfamichael and Saeed 2016: 211), although the most widespread term for shark in Arabic is (*samaka*) *qirš* often followed by a specific descriptor of a species: in this context, one encounters what appears to be a parallel to the otherwise unreported ichthyonym *dība*, namely قرش ديبا *gursh dība* ‘mackerel sharks or white shark’ in the Saudi waters of the Red Sea (Tsfamichael and Saeed 2016: 215). One must note, however, that the latter term exhibits a [d] in the place of [ð] in the Jibbali/Shehret term, which renders the connection uncertain.

³ وضع ما يحمله سريعا الى الارض.

2.5 *taḳʿbīt*

This term (and its cognate form *taḳwa* in the local Arabic variety) designate the bluefish (*Pomatomus saltatrix*) (Froese and Pauly 2024). A popular etymology derives both names from the Arabic form II verbal noun < √ḳwy, *taḳwiya* ‘strengthening’ (Wehr 1976: 803). However, this is dubious in view of the lack of a *tāʾ marbūʿa* in the Arabic ichthyonym *vis-á-vis* the pattern of III-weak form II verbal nouns *تفعية*. Compare Baḥari *tāka* ‘bluefish’ (Morris and Gasparini forthcoming) without /w/. A hypothesis not to rule out is a borrowing from a language from the larger cultural sphere of the Indian ocean trade.

2.6 *gédār / šérwi*

Various types of tuna fish are said by the informant to be called *tuna* in local Arabic, and *gédār* or *šérwi* in Jibbali/Shehret. With regard to *gédār*, it clearly finds correspondences in Mahriyōt *ḡayḏār* ‘*Thunnus* genera’ (Geva Kleinberger 2009: 55) and Omani Arabic *Jaydher* ‘bigeye tuna’ (Froese and Pauly 2024), although Jibbali/Shehret [d] for Mehri and Arabic [ð] is perplexing. The phonotactics of the term *šérwi*, which is said by the informant to be the Jibbali/Shehret counterpart of local Arabic *tuna*, betray a non-Jibbali/Shehret origin,⁴ and this very ichthyonym is found in the Arabic dialect spoken by Eritrean fishermen in the Red Sea for the longtail tuna (*Thunnus tonggol*) (Tsfamichael and Saeed 2016: 222).

2.7 *təbbéna / sahwa*

The interviewee does not provide a description of this fish. At first glance, both names look Arabic, and *sahwa* is indeed found in the record as the Omani Arabic name of the longtail tuna (*Thunnus tonggol*) (Froese and Pauly 2024). As for *təbbéna*, few clues can be found in the literature: the Modern South Arabian lexical sources do not report √*tbn*, and a number of local consultants, some of whom are into professional fishing, are not aware of the origin of this term. All that can be safely stated about this ichthyonym is that it resembles the Arabic name of the milky way *درب التبانة*.

2.8 *mix*

The sole fish (*samak mūsā* [coll.] in Arabic) is translated by the informant into Jibbali/Shehret with the term *mix*, which is not reported by any lexical source. In attempting to trace an etymology for this term, one might want to bear in mind

⁴ /w/ does not appear as [w] in a post-consonantal environment and is realised as [b] (Rubin 2014: 34).

the existence of an areal phenomenon encompassing Jibbali/Shehret, the Mehri dialects of Dhofar, and possibly Hobyot, whereby /m/ and /b/ are interchangeable in many instances.

2.9 *šéḥḥaṭat*

The term for whale *šéḥḥaṭat* appears to be a variant specific to the dialect of al-Ḥallāniya, corresponding to mainland Jibbali/Shehret *šéḥḥaṭat* (MLZ: 505)⁵ and local Arabic *šahūta*. The cognate terms *šohawtat* and *šohāṭat* are found in respectively in Mahriyot (Geva Kleinberger 2009: 59), and Baḥari (Morris and Gasparini forthcoming). A derivation from Arabic *√šḥt* ‘to strand, be stranded, run aground (ship); to ground on sandbank’ (Wehr 1976: 457) is not to rule out, although it is equally possible that this term derives from *hut* ‘fish’ preceded by a *šw element, the meaning of which is currently not understood. Also compare the attestation of terms derived from **hut* to indicate ‘big fish’ in Ḥarsusi *ḥat*, Baḥari *ḥūt* pl. *aḥwāt*, Soqotri *hot*, Hobyot *ḥōt* pl. *aḥwētāt* (Morris et al. 2019: 38, 54, 93, 124).

2.10 *dax̣s*

The interviewee provides the term *dax̣s* for Arabic *dalfīn* (dolphin), and this is confirmed by a number of speakers of eastern Jibbali/Shehret. However, the general term for dolphin in the Modern South Arabian languages (with the possible exception of Soqotri) is known to derive from *√gbr* (MLZ: 657; ML: 131; Geva Kleinberger 2009: 59; Morris and Gasparini forthcoming; Nakano 2013: 216). The term *dax̣s* finds a parallel in standard Arabic *دَحْس* ‘dolphin’ (Wehr 1976: 273), and, according to some informants from eastern and central Dhofar, also in Dhofari Arabic.

2.11 *kəfaṣān*

The Jibbali/Shehret name *kəfaṣān* is provided alongside the local Arabic equivalents *ṣarīf* and *rabāba*, but the informant does not provide further details as to the identification of the creature in question. However, it is to be noted that the name *rabāba*⁶ is used in Omani Arabic to designate the king soldierbreem (*Argyrops spinifer*) (Froese and Pauly 2024). As for the Jibbali/Shehret *kəfaṣān*, the absence

⁵ شحطت.

⁶ The Arabic term *rabāba* designates ‘a stringed instrument of the Arabs resembling the fiddle, with one to three strings’ (Wehr 1976: 320), which might point to a resemblance of this fish to the body of this instrument.

of the so-called ‘idle glottis’ effect⁷ points to a non-Modern South Arabian origin, and Arabic *ṣarṭf* can be safely derived from the very productive Arabic root $\sqrt{\text{ṣrṭf}}$, although little else can be inferred about it from the available data.

2.12 *kēlēt*

The informant provides neither a description nor an Arabic equivalent of the ichthyonym *kēlēt*. *Prima facie*, it is morphologically a diminutive on the pattern $C_1\bar{e}C_2\acute{e}C_3$ (Johnstone 1973; Dufour 2016 *passim*). One must note that the non-diminutive form *kelēt* (JL: 131; MLZ: 807) is the name of a widespread tree in Dhofar, *Euclea schimperi* (Miller and Morris 1988: 126), used for its medicinal properties as well as camel fodder.

2.13 *ḥūs ~ ḥúmʿs*

The term for sea turtle in the Modern South Arabian languages generally derives from $\sqrt{\text{ḥms}}$ (JL: 112; ML: 182; Geva Kleinberger 2009; Morris and Gasparini forthcoming; Nakano 2013: 382; LS: 181), also found in coastal Dhofari Arabic (Davey 2016: 271), and the term used in al-Ḥallāniya is no exception to this rule. Interestingly, the informant provides both the expected form *ḥúmʿs* and *ḥūs*, which points to an underlying **ḥúmʿs*, that is, with a full vowel between C_2 and C_3 instead of an ultra-short non-phonological vowel, which is transcribed here as $\langle^a\rangle$.⁸

2.14 *širóx ~ tiróx*

The term *širóx* and its cognates for crayfish/lobster are found throughout Modern South Arabian (ML: 386; LS: 434; Nakano 2013: 348), as well as in the local Arabic variety as *šarḥa*.⁹ The speaker’s first choice for this name is *širóx*, but he subsequently corrects himself by uttering *tiróx*, hence attesting the much-discussed

⁷ In the Modern South Arabian languages, no unstressed vowel can stand between two voiceless and non-glottalic consonants (Bendjaballah and Ségéral 2014). A native-like Modern South Arabian rendition of this term would then be **kʃəʕán*. It must, however, be pointed out that there are exceptions to this rule, especially in verbal morphology: for example, the perfect 1 c.sg./2 m.sg. form of the T1-stem verb *štēm(ə)k* ‘I/you (m.sg.) bought’.

⁸ This vowel is phonetically identical to /ə/. However, it does not trigger any phonological phenomena (Dufour 2016: 79, *passim*). This phenomenon is known in all Jibbali/Shehret dialects but is markedly prominent in the variety of al-Ḥallāniya (Castagna 2018: 114): For example, compare the realisation *dóxʿs* ‘dolphin’ in al-Ḥallāniya (see above 2.10.) vs. *dóxs* in mainland Dhofar.

⁹ The speakers from al-Ḥallāniya tend to realise /x/ as [ħ] (Castagna 2018: 126). This ichthyonym is found also in the Arabic dialect of Eritrean fishermen (Tsfamichael and Saeed 2016: 217).

shift of laterals to interdental, described by Johnstone with the use of the moniker *baby jibbali* (JL: xii). In actuality, this shift is non-universal, as the speakers of al-Ḥallāniya can (and do) articulate the lateral sounds of Jibbali/Shehret. They do, however, show a tendency to articulate all sibilants (that is, not only laterals) as interdental (Castagna 2018: 120–126).

2.15 *ʕad*

The interviewee translates *sardīn* with the pan-Modern South Arabian term *ʕad*, which designates several species of sardines. This is found throughout the group (JL: 20; ML: 37; Morris and Gasparini forthcoming; LS: 307; Nakano 2013: 216), as well as in coastal Dhofari Arabic (Davey 2016: 298). This term is also found with the fishermen in the waters of Eritrea and Yemen (Tsfamichael and Saeed 2016: 234).

2.16 *surumóm*

This name is provided by the informant together with its local Arabic equivalent *buḵs* ~ *baḵas*. Thanks to the latter, it is possible to identify it with the golden trevally (*Gnathanodon speciosus*) (Froese and Pauly 2024). Jibbali/Shehret *surumóm* is hitherto unrecorded, and its origin unclear.

2.17 *ṭannīḵ* ~ *ṭarnīḵ*

This ichthyonym is vigorously attested throughout Modern South Arabian: it is reported to designate the various species of mackerel in Mehri (ML: 412;¹⁰ Geva Kleinberger 2009: 55), Baḥari (Morris and Gasparini forthcoming), as well as in coastal Dhofari Arabic (Davey 2016: 283). However, rather surprisingly given its wide attestation, this term is found neither in the *Jibbāli Lexicon* nor in the *Muṣṣam lisān Zufār*. Its Arabic equivalent *kənʕād* is attested in Omani Arabic (Froese and Pauly 2024).

2.18 *ʕaḵābīt* ~ *ʕaḵāmīt*

The Arabic equivalents of this ichthyonym *ʕaḵām* ~ *ʕaḵām* designate several species of barracuda in the Arabic dialects of the Gulf of Aden and the Red Sea (Tsfamichael and Saeed 2016: 233). The Modern South Arabian nouns deriving from $\sqrt{\text{ʕkb}}$ ¹¹ usually designate birds (JL: 11; ML: 19; Nakano 2013: 212) and no fish is reported in the literature to have this name. Moreover, the Jibbali/Shehret cog-

¹⁰ The *Mehri Lexicon* also reports Soqotri *ṭarnīḵ* and *tanīḵ*, which is not found, to the best of my knowledge, anywhere else in the published lexical sources.

¹¹ /b/ ~ /m/ (see above 2.8.).

nate term *ʕeʕyét* specifically means ‘pigeon’ (JL: 11; MLZ: 641¹²) and exhibits the regular deletion of intervocalic /b/ (Rubin 2014a: 28–30) and the palatalisation of /k/ (Rubin 2014a: 26) *vis-à-vis* the underlying form **ʕakəbét*. Therefore, the terms *ʕakəbít* ~ *ʕakəmít*, as provided by the interviewee, would appear to derive from a Mehri (or another Modern South Arabian language) loanword.

2.19 *bedibéba*

Both the Jibbali/Shehret term *bedibéba* and its Arabic equivalent *fakal* are provided by the informant without any further explanation, which makes the identification of this species problematic. The attestation of a fish species called *bedbódi* in Soqotri might look encouraging from a comparative perspective, but its identification with the plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) (Naumkin and Porkhomovskij 1981: 52), is controversial due to the northerly habitat of this species. Moreover, the phonotactics of both terms suggests that they might be loanwords from a different (i.e. non-Modern South Arabian) language. In a similar fashion, the Arabic ichthyonym *fakal* is of uncertain origin.

2.20 *səmméta* ~ *səmmáta*

The names *səmméta* ~ *səmmáta*, along with their local Arabic counterpart *sammāt* are said by the informant to designate an electric fish. However, the absence of further indication as to its appearance and the lack of attestation of a similar ichthyonym in previous studies, make its identification problematic. From a phonological viewpoint, the intervocalic geminate /m/ points either to a geminate root \sqrt{smm} , or a borrowing. It must be noted that in Mehri and Jibbali/Shehret the term *səmmét* means ‘rush mat’ (ML: 350; MLZ: 458¹³), and the naming of a fish through such a simile is not far-fetched.

2.21 *məṭərút*

This fish is called *wuld al-ḥamūr* (son of *ḥamūr*) in the local Arabic vernacular. This device either points to a physical similarity of the two species, the ‘son’ species being smaller, or it is an ironical way to designate a much bigger creature than the ‘mother’ species.¹⁴ The ichthyonym *ḥamūr* seems to point to the spotted grouper in the genus *epinephelus* (see above 2.1.), a rather big fish attaining an adult length of up to 120 cm (Froese and Pauly 2024). Therefore, one might reasonably believe its

¹² الحمامة.

¹³ بساط من الخوص.

¹⁴ Compare Mahriyōt *bār d-ṣayd*, literally ‘the son of the sardine’, pointing to the Queensland shark (*Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides*) (Geva Kleinberger 2009: 54).

‘son’ to actually be a small fish. However, due to the lack of further description of the species and the impossibility to gather any indication as to the semantics of the term, no fish can be identified with the Jibbali/Shehret ichthyonym *māṭarūt*.

2.22 *ṣasēnót*

By the same token, the Arabic counterpart of this Jibbali/Shehret ichthyonym is *wuld al-xuḍīr*, and the name *ḥuḍīr*,¹⁵ as has been discussed above (2.2.), designates the sky emperor (*Lethrinus mahsena*) and the smalltooth emperor (*Lethrinus microdon*). The term *ṣasēnót* is morphologically a diminutive (Johnstone 1973), but nothing else can currently be inferred, as the fish databases and the lexica provide no further clue about this term.

2.23 *mērēt*

This ichthyonym presents itself as semantically problematic, as the tokens provided by the interviewee seem to point to separate species: on the one hand, the local Arabic terms *abyaḍ* and *xanāfa* correspond, respectively, to several species of porgies (*Sparidae*) (Tefamichael and Saeed 2016: 221), and batfish (*Platax*) or goldsilk bream (*Acanthopagrus berda*) (Froese and Pauly 2024). On the other hand, *mērēt*, a morphologically diminutive term, can be compared to Mahriyōt *miriyēt* designating the onespotted porgy (*Diplodus sargus kotschyi*) (Geva Kleinberger 2009: 56). Although the informant does not provide any description of the species in question, it is possible, at least with regard to *mērēt*, to infer that its colour might be a deep red on the basis of the semantics of the root \sqrt{mrt} , which points to ‘being/becoming red-hot’ (ML: 270–271; JL: 174; LS: 251–252), and, in fact, some species of batfish and porgies do exhibit such a colour. In any case, the great number of species indicated by these ichthyonyms, coupled with the presence of Arabic *abyaḍ* ‘white’ in apparent contradiction with Jibbali/Shehret \sqrt{mrt} ‘red-hot’, suggests that we might be dealing with multiple species.

2.24 *xēt*

The name of this species, having the composite Arabic equivalent *samak filipini* (coll.) ‘Filipino fish’, is curiously synonymous with the Jibbali/Shehret term for ‘thirst’ *xēt* < \sqrt{xbt} (JL: 296; MLZ: 273¹⁶). Additionally, the moniker ‘Filipino fish’ might point to a non-native fish. However, little else can be inferred from the available data, so that this species cannot currently be identified.

¹⁵ The speaker corrects into *ḥuḍīr*, showing the above-mentioned (2.14.) tendency to realise /x/ as [h].

¹⁶ العطش.

2.25 *ṭabbēka*

This name, provided without any Arabic equivalent, is said by the informant to designate a stinging fish. It can be formally compared with Mahriyōt *ṭabbōqāt* ‘Manta’ (*Manta birostris*) (Geva Kleinberger 2009: 55), which does not have a sting. However, mantas are closely related to stingrays which, as the name suggests, do have a sting, and abound in the waters of the Indian ocean. Geva Kleinberger (2009: 55) suggests that this ichthyonym may derive from the Modern South Arabian root \sqrt{tbk} ‘to fall in the mud; be muddy’ (JL: 274; ML: 405–406), perhaps on account of the stingray’s habit of hiding beneath the sea floor. However, in view of the Arabic morphological pattern exhibited by this term, a derivation from Arabic *ṭabak* ‘lid, cover, plate, dish’ (Wehr 1976: 553) as a descriptor of the flat appearance of these creatures, is not to rule out.

3 Conclusions

In this paper, a total of 25 fish names in Jibbali/Shehret are examined. For each of these names, one or two Arabic names have been provided by the informant. With regard to the Jibbali/Shehret ichthyonyms, items 7, 10 and 11 are of likely Arabic origin, whilst items 5, 16, 19, 20, 21 and 24 are of uncertain origin. The rest of them exhibit a Modern South Arabian origin. As for the Arabic ichthyonyms, items 4, 5, 9, 19 and 20 are of uncertain origin, whilst the rest either have cognates in other Arabic dialects or exhibit typically Arabic morphological patterns. Synchronically speaking, the Jibbali/Shehret variety of al-Ḥallāniya seems to have a poorer vocabulary for fish species than Arabic, on which it partially relies in the practice of naming sea creatures. This might indicate that despite the presumably very long fishing tradition in the islands, the presence of Jibbali/Shehret speakers might be more recent than the establishment of this tradition. In this respect, it has been suggested by some Dhofaris interviewed on sociological matters, that an interest in fishing on the part of Jibbali/Shehret-speaking people, whose traditional activities are carried out in the monsoon hills, is a relatively new development. Whilst this seems to be confirmed by the etymology of some terms examined in this paper, one should also note that a significant share of these ichthyonyms appears to be of unknown origin, that is, neither Modern South Arabian nor Arabic. Although this should certainly not come as a surprise, the southern shores of Arabia having been exposed to the millennia-old Indian ocean trade, one legitimately wonders where these alien influences might come from. Although it is currently not possible to make any suggestions in these regards, one surely should not disregard the Indian ocean trade as a source of non-Semitic lexemes in Modern South Arabian and the Arabic dialects of southern Arabia. As for the ichthyonyms whose origin can be ascertained, they bear witness to the mainland Dhofari origin of the people of al-Ḥallāniya. It is, however, difficult to

make any statement as to how far back in the past the presence of Jibbali/Shehret speakers on the island goes: the mediaeval geographer al-Idriṣī stated in 1154 CE that the inhabitants of a group of islands identified with the Kuria Muria archipelago spoke the ancient Adite tongues (Gallagher 2002: 5),¹⁷ which appears to be at variance with a local belief according to which the ancestors of the current inhabitants of the islands migrated from the mainland as recently as 150–200 years ago. However, these two hypotheses are not mutually exclusive: it is entirely possible that the aforementioned migration was just the most recent influx of people from the mainland into an already inhabited archipelago. Only a comprehensive linguistic, archaeological and anthropological study of the Kuria Muria islands could possibly shed some light on these questions. Regrettably, however, no study of this kind is, to the best of my knowledge, currently underway. In conclusion, the findings of this study point to a mixed Modern South Arabian and Arabic heritage in matters of ichthyonymy, with a conspicuous unidentified (and, at present, unidentifiable) element, which finds a great number of parallels in other semantic fields of the Modern South Arabian lexis.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

ORCID

Giuliano Castagna  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7421-5827>

Abbreviations

JL	Johnstone 1981	ML	Johnstone 1987
LS	Leslau 1938	MLZ	al-Maḥṣanī 2014

References

- Bendjaballah, Sabrina, and Philippe Ségéral. 2014. ‘The Phonology of “Idle Glottis” Consonants in the Mehri of Oman (Modern South Arabian)’. *Journal of Semitic Studies* 59 (1): 161–204.
- Castagna, Giuliano. 2018. ‘A Sketch of the Kuria Muria Language Variety and Other Aspects of Modern South Arabian’. PhD thesis, Leeds: University of Leeds.
- Castagna, Giuliano. 2022a. ‘An Overview of al-Ḥallāniya Place Names’. In *Semitic Dialects and Dialectology. Fieldwork—Community—Change*, edited by Maciej Klimiuk, 23–30.

¹⁷ The Shahri tribe, generally held to represent the original inhabitants of Dhofar, claims descent from the people of ṣĀd, an alleged nation located in a territory roughly corresponding to present-day Dhofar (al-Ṣaḥrī 2000).

- Heidelberg: Heidelberg University Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.17885/heiup.818.c13952>.
- Castagna, Giuliano. 2022b. 'A Text in the Jibbali/Shehret Dialect of al-Hallāniya (Kuria Muria) with a Grammatical Commentary'. In *Semitic Dialects and Dialectology. Fieldwork—Community—Change*, edited by Maciej Klimiuk, 245–253. Heidelberg: Heidelberg University Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.17885/heiup.859.c13965>.
- Davey, Richard J. 2016. *Coastal Dhofari Arabic: A Sketch Grammar*. Leiden and Boston. Brill.
- Dufour, Julien. 2016. 'Recherches sur le verbe sudarabique moderne'. Habilitation thesis. Paris: École pratique des hautes études.
- Froese, Rainer, and Daniel Pauly. 2024. 'FishBase. World Wide Web Electronic Publication'. www.fishbase.org (version 02/2024).
- Gallagher, Michael. 2002. 'Observations on Juzur Hallaniyat (Kuria Muria) Islands of Oman'. *Journal of Oman Studies* 12: 71–123.
- Geva Kleinberger, Aaron. 2009. 'Maritime Terminology in the Mehri Language of the East Coast of Yemen'. In *Philologisches und Historisches zwischen Anatolien und Sokotra: Analecta semitica in memoriam Alexander Sima*, edited by Werner Arnold, Michael Jursa, Walter W. Müller and Stephan Procházka, 51–62. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Hulton, John. 1840. 'Notice on the Curia Muria Islands'. *Transactions of the Bombay Geographical Society* 3: 183–197.
- Johnstone, Thomas Muir. 1973. 'Diminutive Patterns in the Modern South Arabian Languages'. *Journal of Semitic Studies* 18 (1): 98–107.
- Johnstone, Thomas Muir. 1981. *Jibbāli Lexicon*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Johnstone, Thomas Muir. 1987. *Mehri Lexicon and English-Mehri Word-List*. School of Oriental and African Studies: London.
- Leslau, Wolf. 1938. *Lexique soqotri (sudarabique moderne)*. Paris: C. Klincksieck.
- Leslau, Wolf. 1947. 'The Position of the Dialect of Curia Muria in Modern South Arabic'. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 12: 5–19.
- Lonnet, Antoine. 2008. 'La marque -i de féminin en (chamito)-sémitique et son développement en sudarabique moderne oriental'. *Aula Orientalis* 26: 117–134.
- al-Maʿṣanī, Aḥmad bin Maḥad. 2014. *Muṣṣaḡam liṣān Zūfār*. Bayrūt: s.n.
- Miller, Anthony, and Miranda Morris. 1988. *Plants of Dhofar, the Southern Region of Oman: Traditional, Economic and Medicinal Uses*. Muscat: The Office of the Advisor for Conservation of the Environment.
- Morris, Miranda, and Fabio Gasparini. Forthcoming. *A Descriptive Grammar of Baṭaḥrēt*. Harrassowitz: Wiesbaden.
- Morris, Miranda, Janet Watson, and Domenyk Eades. 2019. *A Comparative Cultural Glossary across the Modern South Arabian Language Family*. *Journal of Semitic Studies Supplement* 43. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Nakano, Akio. 2013. *Hobyot (Oman) Vocabulary with Example Texts*. Edited by Robert Ratcliffe. Tokyo: Institute for the Study of Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa.
- Naumkin, Vitaly, Leonid Kogan, Dmitry Cherkashin, Maria Bulakh, Ekaterina Vizirova, ʿĪsa Gumṣan al-Daʿrhi, and Aḥmad ʿĪsa al-Daʿrhi. 2014. *Corpus of Soqotri Oral Literature*. Vol. 1. Leiden: Brill.
- Naumkin, Vitaly, and Viktor Porkhomovskij. 1981. *Ocerki po etnolingvistike sokotry [Essays on Ethnolinguistics of Socotra]*. Moscow: Nauka.
- Rubin, Aaron. 2012. 'Two Modern South Arabian Etymologies'. In *Language and Nature: Papers Presented to John Huehnergard on the Occasion of His 60th Birthday*, edited by Rebecca Hasselbach and Naʿama Pat-El, 345–352. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Rubin, Aaron. 2014a. *The Jibbali (Shāḥri) Language of Oman*. Leiden: Brill
- Rubin, Aaron. 2014b. 'Hulton's Jibbali Word-List from 1836'. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 77: 467–485.
- al-Šaḥrī, ṢAlī Aḥmad. 2000. *Luḡat ṢĀd. Ṣalāla*: s.n.
- Tesfamichael, Dawit, and Hesham Saeed. 2016. 'Common Names of Exploited Fish and Invertebrates of the Red Sea'. In *The Red Sea Ecosystem and Fisheries. Coral Reefs of the World*, edited by Dawit Tesfamichael and Daniel Pauly, 135–190. Springer: Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-7435-2_10.
- Wehr, Hans. 1976. *Arabic-English Dictionary*. Edited by J. Milton Cowan. 3rd ed. New York: Spoken Language Services.