

# Identification of the Thermal Conductivity Coefficient in the Heat Conduction Model with Fractional Derivative

R. Brociek, D. Słota\*

Silesian University of Technology, Institute of Mathematics Kaszubska St. 23, 44-101 Gliwice, Poland \* Corresponding author. E-mail address: damian.slota@polsl.pl

Received 15.05.2019; accepted in revised form 05.06.2019

## Abstract

Main goal of the paper is to present the algorithm serving to solve the heat conduction inverse problem. Authors consider the heat conduction equation with the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and with the second and third kind boundary conditions. This type of model with fractional derivative can be used for modelling the heat conduction in porous media. Authors deal with the heat conduction inverse problem, which, in this case, consists in identifying an unknown thermal conductivity coefficient. Measurements of temperature, in selected point of the region, are the input data for investigated inverse problem. Basing on this information, a functional describing the error of approximate solution is created. Minimizing of this functional is necessary to solve the inverse problem. In the presented approach the Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) algorithm is used for minimization.

Keywords: Heat conduction equation, Inverse problem, Fractional derivative, Thermal conductivity

# 1. Introduction

Fractional (or non-integer) derivatives are the generalizations of classical derivative to the case of an order being the real number. There are several definitions of derivatives of this type, the most popular and the most often discussed in literature are the derivatives of Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, and Riesz [1, 2]. The fractional calculus has found a wide range of applications in modelling the anomalous diffusion processes [3]. Examples of the fractional derivative applications can be found in [4-6].

Modelling the phenomenon of heat transfer in the porous materials is a complicated problem. For modelling this phenomenon one can apply the mathematical models using the fractional derivatives [7-9]. The usefulness of models with fractional order derivatives in modelling the thermal processes in porous materials is described in [8]. In literature one can also find the descriptions of experiments showing that in case of porous materials, the heat transfer equation with fractional derivative allows to reconstruct better the temperature distribution than the equation with classical derivative [10]. Paper [11] shows that the model described by the differential equation including the fractional derivative with respect to time, proposed for the process of heat conduction in a three-layer composite carrier, allows also to reconstruct very well the experimental data.

The literature also contains the papers dedicated to the inverse problems described by differential equations with fractional order derivatives. Murio's papers were the first works of this type [12-14]. More information about the inverse heat conduction problems for differential equations with fractional derivatives can be found in [15, 16].





This paper presents an algorithm dedicated for solving the inverse heat conduction problem based on the temperature measurements read in the selected points of region. The unknown parameter is the thermal conductivity coefficient. In the considered model we use the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. The direct problem is solved by using the finite difference method and the approximation of fractional derivative [17]. In order to find minimum of the function describing the error of approximate solution we apply the Ant Colony Optimization algorithm [18, 19].

## 2. Problem formulation

We investigate the unsteady one-dimensional problem defined in interval [0, L]. Let us consider the heat conduction equation with fractional derivative

$$c\rho \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \hat{\lambda} \frac{\partial^{\beta} u(x,t)}{\partial x^{\beta}} \tag{1}$$

where  $D \in \{(x, t): x \in [0, L], t \in [0, T], L, T \in \mathcal{R}_+\}, \beta \in (1, 2)$ denotes the order of fractional derivative,  $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\omega}\lambda$  is the scaled thermal conductivity coefficient  $[W/(m^{3-\beta}K)]$ , that is the thermal conductivity multiplied by scaling constant  $\hat{\omega}$  with numerical value of one and unit  $[m^{\beta-2}]$ , chosen so that the right and left units of the equation are the same,  $\lambda$  is the thermal conductivity coefficient [W/(mK)], c is the specific heat [J/(kgK)],  $\rho$  is the density  $[kg/m^3]$ , u [K] denotes the temperature, x [m] and t [s] mean the spatial variable and the time, respectively. Equation (1) is completed by the following initial-boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,0) &= f(x), \quad x \in [0,L], \\ -\lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0,t) &= q(t), \quad t \in (0,T], \\ -\lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(L,t) &= h(t)(u(L,t) - u^{\infty}), \quad t \in (0,T], \end{aligned}$$

where h is the heat transfer coefficient  $[W/(m^2K)]$  and  $u^{\infty}$  denotes the ambient temperature [K].

The fractional derivative occurring in equation (1) is the Riemann-Liouville derivative defined by formula [1,2]:

$$\frac{\partial^{\beta} u(x,t)}{\partial x^{\beta}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \int_{a}^{x} u(s,t)(x-s)^{1-\beta} ds, \quad \beta \in (1,2).$$

The considered inverse problem consists in identification of the thermal conductivity coefficient  $\lambda$  which is unknown. The input data for inverse problem are the measurements of temperature taken from boundary of the region. We denote these data as follows

$$u(x_p,t) = \widehat{U}_j, \quad j = 1,2,\ldots,N,$$

where  $x_p$  is the localization of measurement point and N denotes the number of measurements. Basing on this information (temperature measurements) and the values of temperature computed by using the described model for the fixed value of  $\lambda$ , we create the functional defining the error of approximate solution

$$J(\lambda) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( U_j(\lambda) - \widehat{U}_j \right)^2}.$$
 (2)

In order to find the unknown parameter  $\lambda$  we need to minimize the above functional. And to find the minimum of this functional we use the Ant Colony Optimization algorithm.

## 3. Direct problem

The direct problem is solved by using the finite difference method. In order to use this method we create the mesh

$$S = \{(x_i, t_k): x_i = i\Delta x, t_k = k\Delta t, i = 0, 1, \dots, N, k = 0, 1, \dots, M\},\$$

of size N x M and with steps  $\Delta x = \frac{L}{N}$ ,  $\Delta t = \frac{T}{M}$ . The approximate values of function *u* in points  $(x_i, t_k)$  are denoted by  $U_i^k$ . The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is approximated by formula

$$\frac{\partial^{\beta} u(x_{i}, t_{k})}{\partial x^{\beta}} \approx \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} \omega(\beta, j) U_{i-j+1}^{k}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\omega(\beta, j) = \frac{\Gamma(j-\beta)}{\Gamma(-\beta)\Gamma(j+1)}$$

After discretizing equation (1) and using the approximation of fractional derivative (3), we get (i = 1, 2, ..., M - 1) the equation

$$\frac{U_i^{k+1}-U_i^k}{\Delta t} = \frac{\lambda}{c\rho(\Delta x)^\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} \omega(\beta, j) U_{i-j+1}^{k+1}.$$
(4)

It is also necessary to approximate the boundary conditions. Boundary condition of the second kind is approximated as follows

$$-\lambda \frac{-U_2^{k+1} + 4U_1^{k+1} - 3U_0^{k+1}}{2\Delta x} q^{k+1},$$
(5)

and boundary condition of the third kind is approximated as given below

$$-\lambda \frac{U_{N-2}^{k+1} - 4U_{N-1}^{k+1} + 3U_{N}^{k+1}}{2\Delta x} = h^{k+1} (U_{N}^{k+1} - u^{\infty}).$$
(6)

In result of the above approximation, for the fixed moment of time, we obtain the system of algebraic equations. By solving this system we get the approximate values of function u (temperatures) in the mesh points. More information about the numerical solution can be found in [17].

# 4. Minimization of the functional

In order to minimize the functional we use the Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) algorithm [18]. The inspiration for developing the ACO algorithm was the behavior of ant swarms in nature. The algorithm has been adapted for executing the parallel calculations. In order to describe the algorithm we introduce the following symbols:

> J - minimized function, n - number of unknown parameters, nT - number of threads,  $M = nT \cdot p - number$  of ants  $(p \in Z)$ , G - number of pheromone spots,  $q = 0.9, \xi = 1.0 - parameters$  of the algorithm.

Let us present now the description of ACO algorithm.

#### Initialization of the algorithm

- 1. Setting parameters of the algorithm  $G, M, I, nT, q, \xi$ .
- 2. Random generating the G pheromone spots (solutions) and assigning them to set of solutions  $T_0$ .
- 3. Parallel computing the values of minimized function for every pheromone spot (solution). Sorting the elements in  $T_0$  according to the quality of solution (descending).

#### **Iterative process**

4. Assigning the probabilities to the pheromone spots (solutions) according to formula

$$p_l = \frac{w_l}{\sum_{l=1}^G w_l} \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots, G,$$

where weight  $w_l$  is associated to *l*-th solution and is given by formula

$$w_l = \frac{1}{qG\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-(l-1)^2}{2(qG)^2}\right).$$

- 5. Ant chooses the *l*-th pheromone spot (solution) with probability  $p_l$ .
- 6. Ant transforms the *j*-th coordinate (j = 1, 2, ..., n) of *l*-th pheromone spot (solution)  $s_j^l$  by sampling the neighborhood with the use of Gaussian probability density function

$$g(x,\mu,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} exp\left(\frac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$\mu = s_j^l, \ \sigma = \frac{\xi}{G-1} \sum_{p=1}^G |s_j^p - s_j^l|.$$

- 7. Repeating steps 5-6 for each ant. *M* new pheromone spots (solutions) are obtained.
- 8. Dividing the population into *nT* sets. Calculating the value of minimized function for each new solution in population (parallel computation).
- 9. Adding new solutions to set  $T_i$ , sorting set  $T_i$  and removing M worst solutions.
- 10. Repeating steps 3-9 I times.

where

By knowing the values of parameters G, M and I we are able to determine the number of evaluations of the objective function during the algorithm execution, which is equal to  $G + M \cdot I$ .

## 5. Results

In the numerical example we consider the following data:

 $\begin{array}{l} L = 3.825 \ [mm], \quad T = 71.82 \ [s], \quad c = 900 [J/kgK], \\ \rho = 2106 \ [kg/m^3], \quad u^\infty = 298 \ [K], \quad f(x) = 573.15 \ [K] \\ q(t) = 0, \quad h(t) = 2.42t^2 - 5t + 78.07, \quad \beta = 1.08 \end{array}$ 

In the presented example the investigated inverse problem consists in identification of the thermal conductivity coefficient  $\lambda = a$ ,  $(a \in \mathbb{R})$  in the form of a constant. The experiment assumes that the sample was characterized by 22.5% degree of porosity, hence the exact value of thermal conduction coefficient is equal to  $\lambda = 0.225\lambda_p + 0.775\lambda_a \approx 184$ . The restored parameter was searched in interval [120, 250]. In order to generate the input data we used the mesh  $200 \times 3990$  (space × time), while for solving the inverse problem we used the mesh  $100 \times 1995$  ( $\Delta x = 0.03825, \Delta t = 0.036$ ). To run the ACO algorithm we used the following parameters:  $a \in [120, 250]$ , G = 12, M = 16, I = 55, nT = 4.

Table 1 presents the results of parameter  $\lambda$  reconstruction for various input data (disturbed by various pseudorandom error). In each considered case we received similar results. The errors of thermal conductivity reconstruction are minimal and they do not exceed 0.5%.

Table 1.

Results of calculations ( $\lambda$  – reconstructed value of thermal conductivity coefficient)

noise	λ	error [%]	functional
			value
0%	184.53	0.29	0.101
2%	184.48	0.26	22103.097
5%	184.80	0.43	143170.148

Table 2 compiles the errors of temperature reconstruction in the measurement point for the identified values of thermal conductivity coefficient. These errors are minimal and of similar level for various input data. This is due to the fact that the reconstructed  $\lambda$  coefficients are close to each other and oscillate around 184.

Table 2.

Errors of temperature reconstruction in measurement point ( $\Delta_{mean}$  – mean absolute error,  $\Delta_{max}$  – maximal absolute error,  $\delta_{mean}$ - mean relative error,  $\delta_{max}$  – maximal relative error)

	0%	2%	5%
$\Delta_{mean} [K]$	$6.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$7.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$\Delta_{max}[K]$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-1}$
<b>δ<sub>mean</sub></b> [%]	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$
δ <sub>max</sub> [%]	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$

www.czasopisma.pan.pl





Fig. 1. Reconstructed temperatures (dotted line) and measurements (solid line) in case of 5% error input data

## 6. Conclusions

This article presented the one-dimensional heat conduction model with fractional Riemann-Liouville derivative. Model of this kind can be used for describing the phenomenon of heat conduction in the porous materials. The algorithm for solving the discussed inverse heat conduction problems, based on the Ant Colony Optimization algorithm, was also presented. The executed numerical example showed that the considered algorithm reconstructs very well the value of thermal conductivity coefficient, despite the disturbances of input data.

### Acknowledgments

Publication supported within the framework of grants in the area of scientific research and developmental works founded by Rector of the Silesian University of Technology, 09/010/RGJ19/0041.

## References

- Miller, K. & Ross, B. (1993). An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential. Wiley, New York.
- [2] Podlubny, I. (1999). *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press, San Diego.
- [3] Kosztołowicz, T. (2008). Application of differential equations with fractional derivatives to the description of subdiffusion. UJK, Kielce (in Polish).
- [4] Hilfer, R. (2000). *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*. World Scientific, Singapur.

- [5] Mitkowski, W. & Skruch, P. (2013). Fractional-order models of the supercapacitors in the form of RC ladder networks. *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences Technical Sciences*. 61, 581-587. DOI: 10.2478/bpasts-2013-0059.
- [6] Sowa, M. (2018). A harmonic balance methodology for circuits with fractional and nonlinear elements. *Circuits, System, and Signals Processing.* 37(11), 4695-4727. DOI: 10.1007/s00034-018-0794-8.
- [7] Voller, V.R. (2016). Computations of anomalous phase change. International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat Fluid Flow. 26, 624-638. DOI: 10.1108/HFF-08-2015-0326.
- [8] Voller, V.R. (2018). Anomalous heat transfer: examples, fundamentals, and fractional calculus models. *Advances in Heat Transfer.* 50, 333-380. DOI: 10.1016/bs.aiht. 2018.06.001.
- [9] Szymanek, E., Błaszczyk, T., Hall, M.R., Keikhaei Dehdezi, P. & Leszczyński, J.S. (2014). Modelling and analysis of heat transfer through 1D complex granular system. *Granular Matter*. 16, 687-694. DOI: 10.1007/s10035-014-0517-1.
- [10] Obrączka, A., Kowalski, J. (2012). Modeling of heat distribution in ceramic materials using differential equations of incomplete order. Materiały XV Jubileuszowego Sympozjum "Podstawowe Problemy Energoelektroniki, Elektromechaniki i Mechatroniki" (Ed. M. Szczygieł), Vol. 32 of Archiwum Konferencji PTETIS, Komitet Organizacyjny Sympozjum PPEE i Seminarium BSE, 133-135.
- [11] Zhuang, Q., Yu, B. & Jiang, X. (2015). An inverse problem of parameter estimation for time-fractional heat conduction in a composite medium using carbon–carbon experimental data. *Physica B.* 456, 9-15. DOI: 10.1016/j.physb. 2014.08.011.
- [12] Murio, D.A. (2007). Stable numerical solution of a fractional diffusion inverse heat conduction problem. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*. 53, 1492-1501. DOI: 10.1016/j.camwa.2006.05.027.
- [13] Murio, D.A. (2008). Time fractional IHCP with Caputo fractional derivatives. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*. 56, 2371-2381. DOI: 10.1016/j.camwa. 2008.05.015.
- [14] Murio, D.A. & Mejia, C.E. (2008). Generalized time fractional IHCP with Caputo fractional derivatives. *Journal* of *Physics: Conference Series.* 135:012074 (8pp). DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/135/1/012074.
- [15] Murio, D.A. (2009). Stable numerical evaluation of Grünwald-Letnikov fractional derivatives applied to a fractional IHCP. *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*. 17, 229-243. DOI: doi.org/10.1080/ 17415970802082872.
- [16] Zhang, Z. (2016). An undetermined coefficient problem for a fractional diffusion equation. *Inverse Problems*. 32(1): 015011. DOI: 10.1088/0266-5611/32/1/015011.
- [17] Brociek, R. & Słota, D. (2016). Implicit finite difference method for space fractional heat conduction equation with mixed boundary conditions. *Silesian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 6, 125-136.

www.czasopisma.pan.pl

- [18] Socha, K. & Dorigo, M. (2008). Ant colony optimization for continuous domains. *European Journal of Operational Research.* 185, 1155-1173. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2006.06.046.
- [19] Brociek, R. & Słota, D. (2017). Application of Real Ant Colony Optimization algorithm to solve space and time

fractional heat conduction inverse problem. *Information Technology and Control.* 46(2), 171-182. DOI: 10.5755/j01.itc.46.2.17.