ARCHIVESOFENVI RONMENTALPROTECTIONA R C H I W U MO C H R O N YŚ R O D O W I S K Avol. 28no. 4pp. 33 - 402002

PL ISSN 0324-8461

© Copyright by Institute of Environmental Engineering of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Zabrze, Poland 2002

APPLICATION OF ION CHROMATOGRAPHY FOR DETERMINATION OF OXYHALIDES ANIONS IN WATER

RAJMUND MICHALSKI

Institute of Environmental Engineering of the Polish Academy of Sciences ul. M. Skłodowskiej-Curie 34, 41-819 Zabrze

Keywords: desinfection by-products, water analysis, oxyhalides, bromate.

ZASTOSOWANIE CHROMATOGRAFII JONOWEJ DO OZNACZANIA UTLENIONYCH HALOGENOPOCHODNYCH W WODACH

Dezynfekcja wód do picia była dotychczas przeprowadzana za pomocą chlorowania. Jest to dobrze znana, efektywna metoda mająca wiele zalet, niemniej podczas tego procesu tworzą się niebezpieczne dla zdrowia produkty uboczne takie jak trihalometany czy chloryny, chlorany i bromiany.

Innym procesem dezynfekcji pojawiającym się jako obiecująca alternatywą wobec chlorowania jest ozonowanie. Pomimo niezaprzeczalnych zalet, pojawiają się tam również nieokreślone do końca niebezpieczeństwa. Dotyczą one szczególnie tworzenia się kancerogennych anionów bromianowych w wodach zawierających bromki. Oznaczanie bromianów i innych nieorganicznych halogenopochodnych jest zazwyczaj przeprowadzane za pomocą chromatografii jonowej z detekcją konduktometryczną.

Poprawę dokładności oznaczania można osiągnąć poprzez stosowanie alternatywnych technik łączonych takich jak IC-ICP-MS czy IS-MS-MS. Techniki te są wprawdzie bardzo czułe, lecz są również bardzo wyrafinowane i drogie. Inną alternatywną, relatywnie tanią i dobrą propozycją są tzw. techniki "reakcji po kolumnie", czyli metody derywatyzacyjne połączone z chromatografią jonową.

Niniejsza praca stanowi przegląd najnowszych osiągnięć w dziedzinie technik analitycznych stosowanych w oznaczaniu nieorganicznych halogenopochodnych produktów dezynfekcji wód do picia.

Summary

Disinfection of drinking water is usually carried out by chlorination process. This is a well known, effective technology, which has many advantages, however during this process dangerous for health by-products, such as trihalomethanes as well as chlorate, chlorite and bromate are formed.

Another disinfection process, which has emerged as the most promising alternative to chlorination technique, is ozonation. In spite of undeniable advantages, there are certain undefined hazards resulting from this method of water treatment. It is especially due to formation of carcinogen bromate anions in bromide containing waters. Determination of bromate as well as other inorganic oxyhalides is usually carried out by ion chromatography with conductivity detection.

The detection sensitivity can be improved by using alternative hyphenated techniques such as IC-ICP-MS or IS-MS-MS. These techniques are very sensitive, but are highly sophisticated and the instrumentation is very expensive. "Post-column reactions", i.e. derivative techniques coupled with ion chromatography are a relatively cheap and good alternative.

This paper is a review of the newest achievements in analytical techniques used for determination of inorganic oxyhalides by-products formed during various drinking water disinfection processes.

RAJMUND MICHALSKI

A large part of distributed waters for human consumption must be effectively and frequently controlled. Waters used for the preparation of drinking waters are often contaminated with organic and inorganic substances and in addition, are biologically impure. It is therefore a necessity to purify and disinfect source waters in order to obtain waters of a drinking quality.

Disinfection of source waters is in practice performed by chlorination or ozonation procedure [3, 4, 10, 42, 48]. During the 1970s it was discovered that chlorination of drinking water produced carcinogens, such as trihalomethanes, haloacetic acids and others [6, 7, 9, 20, 23, 24, 47, 52]. Chlorite (ClO_2) and chlorate (ClO_3) are the disinfection by-products (DBPs) formed when chlorine dioxide (ClO_2) is used to disinfect drinking water [27, 65]. Since then environmental regulatory agencies, as well as drinking water treatment technologists have been carrying out extensive research for alternative disinfection methods that minimize the production of by-products with significant health risks. Ozonation has emerged as one of the most promising alternatives to chlorination [40].

During the last decade, the use of ozone in the treatment of drinking water to improve taste, odor, organic and inorganic micropollutants has been spreading [35].

The disinfection of bromide-containing source waters results in generation of bromate ions (Br O_3^-), which are formed as a result of a series of complex reactions between ozone and bromide ions present in water [22, 53]. Bromates are possible human carcinogen [26, 38]. Studies have indicated that the concentrations of bromate in processed waters are dependent on several parameters including ozone dose and duration, temperature, pH and indigenous concentration of bromide [5, 37]. The maximum contamination level (MCL) of bromate in drinking water, as recommended by World Health Organization (WHO), European Countries (EC) as well as in Poland is 10 µg/dm³ [15, 25, 49].

The MCL has been primarily based on current analytical capability (not on toxicological considerations – the target concentration for bromate in drinking water is zero); thus, there is a need for ongoing development and refinement of analytical technologies in order to permit rapid and reliable determinations at the submicrogram per litre level.

IARC classified bromate in group B-2 (as "probable human carcinogen") and established a drinking water maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG) of zero and MCL of $10 \,\mu\text{g/dm}^3$ for bromate in finished drinking water [31]. Depending on results of further research, a risk model could indicate a more definitive guideline value for oxyhalides in drinking water. The higher limit sets are mainly due to the lack of sensitive analytical methods for routine laboratories. For these reason there is a need for improving existing methods in terms of sensitivity, cost and reliability.

Numerous techniques are used to determinate oxyhalide compounds including titration, colorimetric, spectrophotometric, flow injection analysis and capillary electrophoresis methods [29, 57, 64]. The majority of methods for the determination of bromate, chlorite and chlorate are usually carried out by ion chromatography (IC) combined with a variety of detection methods [54].

Ion chromatographic methods with direct injection and conductivity detection after chemical suppression have been published by Jackson et al. [33]. Under idealized conditions MDL for bromate, chlorite and chlorate are 1.73 μ g/dm³; 2.38 μ g/dm³ and 1.07 μ g/dm³, respectively. A direct injection ion chromatographic method using an anion-exchange column with a carbonate eluent and suppressed conductivity detection can be used for the determination of these disinfection by-products anions and bromide at low μ g/dm³ levels [34]. No additional sample pretreatment, other than filtration is required. The

34

method is linear for the oxyhalides and bromide over the typical concentration range expected for these analyses in drinking water and quantitative recoveries were obtained for drinking water samples spiked at $10 \,\mu\text{g/dm}^3$.

By using a high-capacity anion-exchange column it should be possible to determine bromate at a level lower than 1 μ g/dm³ by direct injection of a very large volume (up to 1 cm³) without any sample preconcentration or pretreatment [14]. The experimental results showed that matrix effect, due to inorganic ions contained in drinking water, strongly influenced the chromatographic behavior of bromate peak. The increase of the total ion content let to a correlated decrease in the efficiency of the analyte peak so that effective detection limits depended on the matrix composition. In this work chromatographic parameters such as efficiency, asymmetry and resolution of bromate peak are discussed in relation to the concentration of the main inorganic anions and the injection volume.

An automatic flow injection analysis (FIA) has been reported by Gordon et al. [28]. This sensitive $(1 \ \mu g/dm^3)$ method utilizes the oxidation of chlorpromazine by bromate but is distributed by other disinfection by-products like chlorite when these interfering ions are not removed prior to analysis.

These techniques suffer from the existence of interference that require time consuming step for elimination, clean up and separation before the instrumental measurements [56, 61].

Much lower detection limits are obtained using hyphenated techniques as ion chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (IC-MS) [8, 12, 36]. In one of the first studies, Creed et al. [17] reported a detection limit of $0.1 - 0.2 \,\mu g/dm^3$ after utilizing a Dionex AG10 column for sample preconcentration. The new approach that permitted ultratrace determinations of bromate in drinking waters, the main benefits being low limit of detection (0.13 $\mu g/dm^3$ based on 500· 10⁻⁶ m³ sample injection), rapid analysis time (10 min/sample), and good precision (2.8% at the 5 $\mu g/dm^3$ level) was reported by Elwaer et al. [21].

The ICP-MS detection for the simultaneous determination of halogen and oxyhalogen anions as sulfate, phosphate, selenite, selenate and arsenate was described by Divjak et al. [19]. The limits of detection (S/N = 3, sample injection volume 50·10⁻⁶ m³) obtained with the IC-ICP-MS after the optimization were 0.67 μ g/dm³ for Br O₃; 0.47 μ g/dm³ for Br⁻; 69 μ g/dm³ for Cl O₂; 4 μ g/dm³ for Cl⁻; 47 μ g/dm³ for Cl O₃; 13 μ g/dm³ for SO₄²⁻, 36 μ g/dm³ for PO₄³⁻, 0.4 μ g/dm³ for Se O₃²⁻; 0.3 μ g/dm³ for Se O₄²⁻ and 0.4 μ g/dm³ for As O₄³⁻.

Several authors [16, 44, 50] investigated another on-line IC-ICP-MS systems. Yamanaka et al. [66] described a specific determination for bromate, iodate and other halogen anions in drinking water by using this technique with postcolumn derivatization. The advantages of ICP-MS as an element of selective detector were evaluated for bromate and iodate by considering the comparison with the postcolumn derivatization.

A sensitive method for analyzing chlorite, chlorate, bromate and iodate in water by ion chromatography coupled with ionspray tandem mass spectrometry (IS-MS-MS) has been developed by Charles and Pepin [11]. Prior to the analysis, the samples were subjected to off-line sample clean up with Ba, Ag and H form resins to remove sulfate, chloride and

An eluent consisting of ammonium nitrate in methanol-water (9:1, v/v) was found to be suitable for separating the analytes, while providing enhanced detector sensitivity. The coupling of IC with IS-MS-MS allows for the identification of the four oxyhalides mentioned above in single run with very high specificity and sensitivity.

The application of atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometry (API-MS), coupled with IC, showed a performance comparable to that of IC-MS/MS and IC-ICP-MS [2, 51]. Diemer and Heumann [18] reported a detection limit in the range of 0.03–0.07 μ g/dm³ with an anion-exchange chromatography coupled with negative thermal ionization isotope dilution mass spectrometry (NTI-IDMS). The detection of bromate in ozonated water by IC-ICP-MS does not suffer from chloride interference associated with IC conductivity detection but tribromoacetic acid must be removed before analysis.

A speciation method utilizing IC-ICP-MS is described by Pantsar-Kallio and Manninen [45] for simultaneous analysis of eight halogenides and oxyhalogens: chloride, chlorite, chlorate, perchlorate, bromide, bromate, iodide and iodate. Chloride and chlorate were the chlorine species found in these water samples, and iodine exists as both iodate and iodide. In the case of bromine species conversion had taken place, since total bromine concentrations were increased during disinfection, but bromide concentrations were decreased.

These techniques are very sensitive and single analysis is cheap, but is highly sophisticated, and the instrumentation is very expensive. Anion analysis of water samples should be performed using a limited number of methods, and they should be as inexpensive and simple as possible.

Another technique for the simultaneous determination of inorganic desinfection byproducts is IC coupled with a post-column reaction (PCR) followed by spectrometric detection [62, 63]. Chiu et al. [13] reported a simple and rapid spectrometry, which was based on the strong absorption of the tribromide, converted from bromide under an excess of hydrobromic acid. The same procedure was used to determine bromate by using IC with post column reaction [32, 41]. Using the on-line hydrobromic acid generator employing a cation exchange hollow fiber these were performed a high precision determination of bromate. Under optimized conditions, the performance for bromate determination was obtained as given below. The detection limit for a bromate injection of 0.1 cm³ was 0.35 μ g/dm³. The linear range was over 4 orders of magnitude, from 0.5 μ g/dm³ to 10 mg/dm³. The relative standard deviation (RSD) for 1 μ g/dm³ of bromate solution was 4.6% (n = 10). The postcolumn derivatization system combined with suppressed conductometric detection can be applied to the simultaneous determination of bromate and common anions as fluoride, chloride, nitrite, bromide, phosphate, sulfate and nitrate in drinking water.

PCR method based on o-dianiside was developed by Warner et al. [58]. The limit of detection of bromate in this method is $1 \mu g/dm^3$, however these are some disadvantages: poor resolution of bromate and chlorite ions and carcinogenic characteristic of o-dianisidine.

Chlorate oxidizes the iodide into iodine, which reacts, with excess iodide to give the triiodide that is detectable at 288 nm. This method was published by Nowack and Gunten [43]. The method also enables the simultaneous determination of chlorite, bromate and nitrite at the low μ g/dm³ level.

Determination of bromate by using fuchsin as post-column reagent with MDLs $0.1 \ \mu g/dm^3$ level was described by Achilli and Romele [1]. In July 1997, the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) began sampling and analyzed water matrices from US municipalities serving population greater than 100 000 for low-level bromate (>20 $\ \mu g/dm^3$) in support of the Information Collection Rule (ICR).

mental Protection Agency (US EPA) began sampling and analyzed water matrices from US municipalities serving population greater than 100 000 for low-level bromate (>20 μ g/dm³) in support of the Information Collection Rule (ICR).

US EPA has reported two methods capable of detecting bromate at or below promulgated MCL level 10.0 μ g/dm³. These methods published in the end of 1997, are EPA Method 300.1 and 317.0 [30, 46], which reduced the Method 300.0 bromate method detection limit from 20.0 μ g/dm³ to 1.4 μ g/dm³ using direct injection of the sample. In a continuing effort to simplify the analysis and improve sensitivity for trace levels of bromate in drinking water, in September 1998, US EPA presented new postcolumn reagent method with o-dianisidine [55].

Regulatory standards for oxyhalides in drinking water require an analytical method with a detection limit of at least 25% of the parametric value. This method must be relatively simple, accurate, cheap and adequate for routine laboratories.

International Standard Organization (ISO) published in 1997 a method for determination of chlorate, chloride and chlorite in water with low contamination by using ion chromatography [59]. In 2000 an interlaboratory trial was organized involving 26 European laboratories using the draft standard IC method with conductivity detection and/or alternative methods. Three alternative laboratory-based methods were developed, based on ion chromatography coupled with different detection systems: ICP-MS, colorimetry or fluorimetry. The performance data of the three methods are at least comparable to the respective data of standard IC/CD method [39].

The future application of the alternative methods will depend on the equipment of the laboratories and on the number and kind of samples to be analyzed. For the moment recommended method for determination of bromate in drinking water is IC/CD method established as ISO 15061 Method in 2001 [60].

REFERENCES

- [1] A c h i l l i M., L. R o m e l e: Ion chromatographic determination of bromate in drinking water by post-column reaction with fuchsin, J. Chromatogr., 847, 271–278 (1999).
- [2] Ahrer W., W. Buchenberger: Analysis of low-molecular-mass inorganic and organic anions by ion-chromatography – atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr., 854, 275–287 (1999).
- [3] Aieta E. M., P. V. Roberts: A review of chlorine dioxide in drinking water treatment, J.A.W.W.A., 78/6, 62-72 (1986).
- [4] Aieta E. M., P. V. Roberts: Chlorine dioxide chemistry: generation and residual analysis, [in:]
 W. J. Cooper, Chemistry in Water Re-Use, vol. 1, Ann-Arbor Science, Michigan, USA 1981.
- [5] A m y G., M. Siddiqui, K. Ozekin, P. Westerhoff: Threshold Levels for Bromate Formation in Drinking Water, International Workshop on Bromate and Water Treatment, 22–24.11.1994, Paris, France, 169–180.
- [6] Attias L., A. Contu, A. Loizzo, M. Massiglia, P. Valente, G. A. Zapponi: Trihalomethanes in Drinking Water and Cancer: Risk Assessment and Integrated Evaluation of Available Data, in Animals and Humans, Sci. Tot. Environ., 171/1-3, 61-68 (1995).
- [7] Boorman G. A., V. Dellarco, J. K. Dunnick, R. E. Chapin, S. Hunter, F. Hauchman, H. Gardner, M. Cox, R. C. Sills: Drinking water disinfection byproducts: Review and approach to toxicity evaluation, Environ. Heal. Persp., 107/1, 207-217 (1999).
- Buchenberger W., W. Ahrer: Combination of suppressed and non-suppressed ion chromatography with atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometry for the determination of anions, J. Chromatogr., 850, 99-106 (1999).
- [9] Bull R. J., L. S. Birnbaum, K. P. Cantor, J. B. Rose, B. E. Butterworth, R. Pegram, J. Tuomisto: Water chlorination: essential process or cancer hazard, Fund. Appl. Toxicol., 28/2, 155-166 (1995).

- [10] Camel V., A. Bermond: The use of ozone and associated oxidation process in drinking water treatment (Review), Wat. Res., 32/11, 3208-3222 (1998).
- [11] Charles L., D. Pepin: Analysis of oxyhalides in water by ion chromatography-ionspray mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr., 804, 105-111 (1998).
- [12] Charles L., D. Pepin: Electrospray Ion Chromatography Tandem mass Spectrometry of Bromate at sub-ppb levels in water, J. Chromatogr., 804, 105–111 (1998).
- [13] Chiu G., R. D. Eubanks, Rapid determinations of bromide ions in sea water by spectrometric method, Microchim. Acta, 11, 145–152 (1989).
- [14] Colombini S., S. Polesello, S. Valsecchi, S. Cavalli: Matrix effects in the determination of bromate in drinking water by ion chromatography, J. Chromatogr., 847, 279–284 (1999).
- [15] Council Directive Concerning the Quality of Water Intended for Human Consumption, Directive 98/89/CE, Commission of the European Union, Brussels 1998.
- [16] Creed J.T., M.L. Magnuson, L. Matthew, C.A. Brockhoff: Determination of bromate in the presence of brominated haloacetic acids by ion chromatography with inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometric detection, Environ. Sci. Technol., 31/7,2059–2063 (1997).
- [17] Creed J. T., M. L. Magnuson, J. D. Pfaff, C. A. Brockhoff: Determination of bromate in drinking waters by ion chromatography with inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometric detection, J. Chromatogr., 753, 261–267 (1996).
- [18] Diemer J., K. G. Heumann: Bromide-bromate speciation by NTI-IDMS and ICP-MS coupled with ion exchange chromatography, Fres. J. Anal. Chem., 357, 72–74 (1997).
- [19] Divjak B., M. Novic, W. Goessler: Determination of bromide, bromate and other anions with ion chromatography and an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer as element-specific detector, J. Chromatogr., 862, 39–47 (1999).
- [20] Dojlido J., E. Zbiec, R. Swietlik: Formation of the haloacetic acids during ozonation and chlorination of water in Warsaw waterworks (Poland), Wat. Res., 33/14, 3111–3118 (1999).
- [21] Elwaer A. R., C. W. McLeod, K. C. Thompson: On-line separation and determination of bromate in drinking water using flow injection ICP mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 72, 5725–5730 (2000).
- 22] Fielding M., J. Hutchison: Formation of Bromate and Other Ozonation By-products in Water Treatment, International Workshop on Bromate and Water Treatment, 22–24.11.1994, Paris, France 38– 50.
- [23] Garcia-Villanova R. J., C. Garcia, J. A. Gomez, M. P. Garcia, R. Ardanuy: Formation, Evolution and Modeling of Trihalomethanes in the Drinking Water of a Town: I. At the Municipal Treatment Utilities, Wat. Res., 31/6, 1299–1308 (1997).
- [24] Garcia-Villanova R. J., C. Garcia, J. A. Gomez, M. P. Garcia, R. Ardanuy: Formation, Evolution and Modeling of Trihalomethanes in the Drinking Water of a Town: II. In the Distribution System, Wat. Res., 31/6, 1405–1413 (1997).
- [25] Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Tables of Guidelines Values, WHO 1993.
- [26] Guidelines for Drinking Water Water Quality, 2nd ed., vol. 2, Health Criteria and other supporting information, Geneva, WHO, 822–828 (1996).
- [27] Gordon G., S. Blootmaekers, S. D. Tachiyshiki, W. O. Wood: Minimizing chlorite and chlorate ion in water treated with chlorine dioxide, J.A.W.W.A., 83/5, 160–165 (1990).
- [28] Gordon G., B. Bubnis: The measurement of very low bromate ion, Ozon. Sci. Engin., 17, 551– 559 (1995).
- [29] H a d d a d P. R.: Comparison of ion chromatography and capillary electrophoresis for the determination of inorganic anions, J. Chromatogr., 770, 281–290 (1997).
- [30] Hautman D. P., D. Munch, J. D. Pfaff: US EPA Method 300.1, Determination of Inorganic Anions in Drinking water by Ion Chromatography, EPA/600/R-98/118, NTIS PB98 – 169196INZ (1997).
- [31] IARC, Some Naturally Occurring and Synthetic Food Components, furocoumaris and ultraviolet radiation: potassium bromate, Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk to Human, vol. 40, WHO, Geneva 1990.
- [32] In oue Y., T. Sakai, H. Kumagai, Y. Hanaoka: High selective determination of bromate in ozonized water by using ion chromatography with postcolumn derivatization equipped with reagent preparation device, Anal. Chem. Acta, 346, 299–305 (1997).

- [33] Jackson L. K., R. J. Joyce, M. Laikhtman, P. E. Jackson: Determination of trace level bromate in drinking water by direct injection ion chromatography, J. Chromatogr., 829, 187–192 (1998).
- [34] Kaiser E., J. Riviello, M. Rey, J. Statler, S. Heberling: Determination of trace level ions by high-volume direct-injection ion chromatography, J. Chromatogr., 739/1-2, 71–79 (1996).
- [35] Kang J-W., H-S. Park, R-Y. Wang, M. Koga, K. Kadokami, H-Y. Kim, E-T. Lee, S-M. Oh: Effect of ozonation for treatment of micropollutants present in drinking water source, Wat. Sci. Technol., 36/12, 299-307 (1998).
- [36] Koester C.J., H.R. Beller, R.U. Halden: Analysis of perchlorate in groundwater by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34/9, 1862–1864 (2000).
- [37] Krasner S. W., J. T. Gramith, B. M. Coffey, R. S. Yates: Impact of Water Quality and Operational Parameters on the Formation and Control of Bromate During Ozonation, International Workshop on Bromate and Water Treatment, 22–24.11.1994, Paris, France, 157–168.
- [38] Kurokawa Y. A.: Toxicity and Cancerogenity of Potassium Bromate A New Renal Cancerogen, Environ. Heal. Pers., 87, 309–335 (1990).
- [39] Laboratory and field methods for the determination of bromate in drinking water, EC contract SMT4-CT96-2134, EUR report, European Commission, No.1960, ISBN 92-828-9401-0, Brussels 2000.
- [40] Langlias B, D. A. Reckhow, D. R. Brink: Ozone in water treatment, Lewis Pub., Chelsea 1991.
- [41] Michalski R.: Porównanie dwóch metod oznaczania bromianów w wodach na poziomie stężeń mg/dm3 z wykorzystaniem techniki chromatografii jonowej, Arch. Ochr. Środ., 27/1, 77–85 (2001).
- [42] Narkis N., R. Offer, E. Lindenberg, N. Betzer: The use of chlorine dioxide in desinfection wastewater, [in:] R. E. Jolley, Water Chlorination – chemistry, Environmental Impact and Health Effects, vol. 6, Lewis Publ. Inc., Chelsea, Michigan, USA, 955–966 (1989).
- [43] Nowack B., U. Gunten: Determination of chlorate at low μg/l levels by ion-chromatography with post column reaction, J. Chromatogr., 849, 209–215 (1999).
- [44] Nowak M., A. Seubert: Ultra-trace determination of bromate in drinking water by means of micropore column ion chromatography and on-line coupling with inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Anal. Chim. Acta, 359, 193–204 (1998).
- [45] Pantsar-Kallio M., P. K. G. Manninen: Speciation of halogenides and oxyhalogens by ion chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Anal. Chim. Acta, 360, 161–166 (1998).
- [46] Pfaff J. D., US EPA Method 300.0, Methods for the Determination of Inorganic Substances in Environmental Samples, EPA-600/R-93-100, NTIS PB94-121811 1993.
- [47] Pourmoghaddas H., A. A. Stevens: Relationship between Trihalomethanes and Haloacetic Acids with Total Organic Halogen during Chlorination, Wat. Res., 29/9, 2059–2062 (1995).
- [48] Rice R. G., A. Netzer: Handbook of Ozone Technology and Application, Ann Arbor Science Publishers, Michigan USA 1982.
- [49] Rozporządzenie Ministra Zdrowia i Opieki Społecznej z dnia 4 września 2000, (Dz. U. Nr 82, poz. 937 z 4 października 2000 r.).
- [50] Schminke G., A. Seubert: Simultaneous determination of inorganic desinfection by-products and the seven standard anions by ion chromatography, J. Chromatogr., 890, 295–301 (2000).
- [51] Seubert A., G. Schminke, M. Nowak, W. Ahrer, W. Buchenberger: Comparison of on-line coupling of ion-chromatography with atmosphere pressure ionization mass spectrometry and with inuctively coupled plasma mass spectrometry as tools for the ultra-trace analysis of bromate in surface water samples, J. Chromatogr., 884, 191–199 (2000).
- [52] S i m p s o n K. L., K. P. H a y e s: Drinking water disinfection by-products: an Australian perspective, Wat. Res., 32/5, 1522–1528 (1998).
- [53] Song R., Ch. Donohoe, R. Minear, P. Westerhoff, K. Ozekin, G. Amy: Empirical modeling of bromate formation during ozonation of bromide-containing waters, Wat. Res., 30/5, 1161– 1168 (1996).
- [54] Wagner H. P., B. V. Pepich, D. P. Hautman, D. J. Munch: Analysis of 500-ng/l levels of bromate in drinking water by direct-injection suppressed ion chromatography coupled with a single pneumatically delivered post-column reagent, J. Chromatogr., 850, 119-130 (1999).

RAJMUND MICHALSKI

- [55] Wagner H. P., B. V. Pepich, D. P. Hautman, D. J. Munch: Performance evaluation of a method for the determination of bromate in drinking water by ion chromatography (EPA Method 317.0) and validation of EPA Method 324.0, J. Chromatogr., 884, 201–210 (2000).
- [56] Wang K., H. Liu, H. Jian, Ch. Xingguo, H. Zhide: Determination of bromate in bread additives and flours by flow injection analysis, Food Chem., 70/4, 509-514 (2000).
- [57] Wang P., S. F. Y. Li, H. K. Lee: Measurement of nitrate and chlorate in swimming pool water by capillary zone electrophoresis, Talanta, 45/4, 657–661 (1998).
- [58] Warner C. R., D. H. Daniels: Measurement of bromate in bottled water by high-performance liquid chromatography with post-column flow reactor detection, Food Add. Contamin., 13, 633-638 (1996).
- [59] Water quality determination of dissolved anions by liquid chromatography of ions part 4 determination of chlorate, chloride and chlorite in water with low contamination, ISO/10304-4, 1997.
- [60] Water Quality Determination of dissolved bromate using liquid chromatography of ions, ISO 15061, 2001.
- [61] Weinberg H.: Pre-concentration Techniques for Bromate Analysis in Ozonated Waters, J. Chromatogr., 671, 141–149 (1994).
- [62] Weinberg H. S., H. Yamada: Post-Column Chromatography Derivatization for the Determination of Oxyhalides at Sub-ppb Levels in Drinking Water, Anal. Chem., 70/1, 1–6 (1998).
- [63] Weinberg H. S., H. Yamada, R. J. Joyce: New, sensitive and selective method for determining sub-µg/l levels of bromate in drinking water, J. Chromatogr., 804, 137-142 (1998).
- [64] Williams J.: Oznaczanie anionów, PWN, Warszawa 1985.
- [65] Williams D. T., G. L. LeBel, F. M. Benoit: Disinfection by-products in Canadian drinking water, Chemosphere, **34**/2, 299–316 (1997).
- [66] Yamanaka M., T. Sakai, H. Kumagai, Y. Inoue: Specific determination of bromate and iodate in ozonized water by ion chromatography with postcolumn derivatization and inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr., 789, 259–265 (1997).

Received: December 15, 2001, accepted: June 3, 2002.