



*Acta Geologica Polonica*, Vol. 63 (2013), No. 4, pp. 469–487 DOI: 10.2478/agp-2013-0020



# Inoceramid and foraminiferal record and biozonation of the Turonian and Coniacian (Upper Cretaceous) of the Mangyshlak Mts., western Kazakhstan

IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK<sup>1</sup>, LUDMILA F. KOPAEVICH<sup>2</sup> AND VLADIMIR N. BENIAMOVSKI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Geology, University of Warsaw, Al. Żwirki i Wigury 93, PL-02-089 Warszawa, Poland. E-mail: i.walaszczyk@uw.edu.pl

<sup>2</sup>Geological Faculty, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskie gory, Moscow, 119991 Russia
 <sup>3</sup>Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pyzhevski 7, Moscow, 119017, Russia

#### ABSTRACT:

Walaszczyk, I., Kopaevich, L.F. and Beniamovski, V.N. 2013. Inoceramid and foraminiferal record and biozonation of the Turonian and Coniacian (Upper Cretaceous) of the Mangyshlak Mts., western Kazakhstan. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, **63** (4), 469–487. Warszawa.

The Turonian and Coniacian (Upper Cretaceous) of the Mangyshlak Mts., western Kazakhstan, yielded a rich and relatively complete inoceramid bivalve record. The faunas and their succession correspond to those known from central and eastern Europe, allowing the zonation established in the latter areas to be applied in a virtually identical form. The gaps in the record of the group in Mangyshlak stem from the regional hiatuses in the geological record in the area and do not reflect any biogeographical differences between eastern and central-western Europe. Planktonic foraminifera are rare. Four successive interval range zones can be distinguished: in ascending stratigraphic order, the *Helvetoglobotrunaca helvetica, Marginotruncaca pseudolinneiana, Marginotruncana coronata*, and *Concavotruncana concavata* zones. Their correlation with the inoceramid zonation and, consequently, with the chronostratigraphic scheme, is demonstrated. The zonation and chronostratigraphic subdivision as applied in Mangyshlak may easily be applied to other areas of the peri-Caspian region (Caucasus, Tuarkyr, Kopet-Dagh, SE margin of the East-European Craton).

Key words: Cretaceous; Turonian; Coniacian; Mangyshlak; Biostratigraphy; Inoceramid bivalves; Foraminifers; Correlation; North European biogeographic Province.

### INTRODUCTION

The Cretaceous of western Central Asia, including Mangyshlak, has long been recognized to be a part of the European biogeographic Province (Naidin 1959) (= North European Province of Kauffman 1973) and, consequently, the successions of faunas in the rest of central and western Europe are expected to have thrived all over the region, at least during the Late Cretaceous epoch. However, in comparing the reports, e.g., on the inoceramid bivalves, from various areas of the peri-Caspian region, as well as of the south-eastern margin of the Russian Platform, with those from regions farther to the west (central and western Europe), remarkable differences appear. For instance, the number of new, formally described inoceramid taxa [not to mention those that are only listed and never described] from the Caucasus or other peri-Caspian areas, but never reported



470

from central or western Europe, is remarkably long. Does this result simply from differences in the taxonomic approaches of the specialists working in these two regions, or is it really a reflection of actual biogeographic differences between the two parts of the North European province? This difference was explicitly interpreted as an actual phenomenon in a series of recently published reports (e.g., Kharitonov et al. 2003, 2007). The data provided, as well as their interpretation, are not convincing at all, hence we decided to provide the results of our study on sections from a single region, which clarifies at least part of the record.

Our paper provides a detailed description and discussion of the inoceramid and foraminiferal records of the Turonian and Coniacian (Upper Cretaceous) of the Mangyshlak Mountains, western Kazakhstan, one of the key areas for the biostratigraphy of the whole peri-Caspian regions (Caucasus, Mangyshlak, Turakyr, Kopet-Dagh and the south-eastern margin of the Russian Craton). The results are based on the collections and samples gathered by IW and LFK during the 1992 expedition to the area (led by Ryszard Marcinowski) and additional material collected by the senior author during the second Mangyshlak expedition

in 1999. The bed-by-bed inoceramid collections precisely tied to foraminiferal samples give the opportunity of tracing the detailed record of both groups in the area. References to other peri-Caspian areas are provided. Preliminary results of the study of the inoceramid material collected in 1992 were presented in the 1996 report of the first expedition (Marcinowski et al. 1996) and in an earlier report by Naidin et al. (1984); the reports on foraminifers are contained in Naidin et al. (1984), as well as in Kopaevich (1989); Naidin et al. (1994); Kopaevich (1996); Kopaevich et al. (1999); Baraboshkin et al. (2003); Alekseev et al. (2007); Kopaevivh et al. (2007); Beniamovski (2008); and Kopaevich (2010, 2011)

Foraminiferal material illustrated herein is housed in the Department of Regional Geology and Earth History, of the Geological Faculty of the Lomonosov Moscow State University, collection numbers 2010 MSU/5, No2011MSU/6 (planktonic foraminifers), and in the Department of Micropalaeontology of the Geological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, collection number 2007GINRAS/4 (benthic foraminifers); the inoceramid material is housed in the Museum of the Faculty of Geology of the University of Warsaw.



Text-fig. 1. Geological sketch-map of the Mangyshlak Anticlinorium with location of the referenced sections (after Marcinowski et al. 1996, changed)

## GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND LOCALITY DETAILS

The Mangyshlak Mountains (western Kazakhstan), apart from Tuarkyr and Kopet Dag in western Turkmenistan, are one of the main areas in western Central Asia with well exposed and easily accessible Upper Cretaceous strata (Text-fig. 1). The Upper Cretaceous in Mangyshlak crops out along the flanks of the NW-SE running, late Neogene Central Mangyshlak Anticlinorium (e.g. Muromitzev 1973), composed of Jurassic, Cretaceous and Cenozoic strata. The Cretaceous represents part of the sedimentary cover of the Turan Platform, which ranges between the Pericaspian Depression in the north and the Transcaspian Alpine deformation zone in the south (Stampfli *et al.* 2001; Natalin and Sengör 2005).

The Turonian-Coniacian succession in Mangyshlak shows a distinct twofold facies development (Text-figs 2-4): a lower siliciclastic unit (composed mainly of sands and sandstones) of Early and earliest Middle Turonian age; and an upper carbonate unit of Late Turonian-Coniacian age. There is a marked unconformity between the two units, developed usually as a hardground, accompanied by a phosphatic horizon. A stratigraphic gap, comprising most of the Middle Turonian and ranging possibly into the lowermost Upper Turonian, is associated with the unconformity. The combined thickness of both units is relatively small. In the Shakh-Bogota section, where the Turonian-Coniacian succession is the most expanded, it reaches 80 m, but it decreases very fast eastward, and in the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section, which is only 60 km east of Shakh-Bogota, it is only 48 m, and in the Besakty section, in the eastern part of the Mangyshlak Mts., it does not exceed 2 m. Additionally, most of the succession throughout Mangyshlak is represented by the lower siliciclastic unit, which comprises the Lower Turonian and lowermost Middle Turonian, with both the Upper Turonian and Coniacian much thinner, rarely exceeding 20 m.

During the Cenomanian–Middle Turonian, the depocentre migrated gradually from SE to NW (Marcinowski *et al.* 1996), with the most complete record of the Turonian and Coniacian located in the NW part of the area, close to the Caspian sea (see Marcinowski *et al.* 1966, fig. 14). Consequently, the basic sections referred to herein are those from the latter area: Shakh-Bogota, Sulu-Kapy and Koksyrtau-Aksyrtau (Text-fig. 1). The sections from the SE part of the area (Azhirektoy and Besakty; Text-fig. 1; see also Marcinowski *et al.* 1966, fig. 14) are of lesser importance in the detailed study of the Turonian–Coniacian succession.

The details of particular sections are given in Marcinowski *et al.* (1996). What follows herein are the details of the inoceramid and foraminiferal successions in the Shakh-Bogota, Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau, and Sulu-Kapy sections (Text-figs 2–4).

## INOCERAMID SUCCESSION

Among the sections studied herein, the inoceramid succession of the Turonian and Coniacian is best represented in the Shakh-Bogota section. This is particularly the case in the Upper Turonian–Coniacian parts, which provide the most complete record in the whole area of Mangyshlak (Text-fig. 2). This section does not provide any information on the Lower Turonian record, the latter being based on the Shyrkala-Airakty, Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau and Sulu-Kapy sections (Text-figs 3, 4). Representative inoceramids are illustrated in Plates 1–10.

#### Lower and basal Middle Turonian

In the Shakh-Bogota section, no Early Turonian inoceramids were found by the authors in either the 1992 or the 1999 expedition (see Marcinowski *et al.* 1996). This corresponds to the observations by Naidin *et al.* (1984, p. 14, fig. 2). However, early Turonian *Mytiloides* is well represented in all of the other sections studied in the western part of Mangyshlak (see Naidin *et al.* 1984; Marcinowski *et al.* 1996): the Shyrkala-Airakty, Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau and Sulu-Kapy sections.

The best record is known from the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section (see Marcinowski et al. 1996, textfig. 9). The lowermost part of the succession (beds 29-31; see Text-fig. 3) is characterized by Mytiloides goppelnensis (Badillet and Sornay, 1980) (with forms transitional between M. goppelnensis and Mytiloides puebloensis Walaszczyk and Cobban, 2000) and Mytiloides hattini Elder 1991, indicating the very early Early Turonian (Pl. 1, Figs B, C, F). The main part of the succession is composed of Mytiloides mytiloides (Mantell, 1822), Mytiloides kossmati (Heinz, 1930) and/or M. goppelnensis (see Pl. 1, Figs A, D) the typical assemblage of the Mytiloides mytiloides Zone of Kennedy et al. (2000). In the 1999 expedition, specimens of the subhercynicus-hercynicus group (see Pl. 1, Figs E, G) were found in the topmost part of the Shyrkala-Airakty section. This confirms the earlier reports of Naidin et al. (1984) of this species group from both the Sulu-Kapy and Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau sections. The topmost part of the sandy unit throughout western Mangyshlak (bed 10 in the Shakh-Bogota section; bed 16 in the Sulu-Kapy section and beds 28-36 in the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau sections; see Text-figs 2-4) is thus of early Middle Turonian age.



#### Middle Turonian

The Middle Turonian, with the exception of its lowermost part (*M. subhercynicus* Zone) is missing in the area. This may to a large extent be interpreted by subsequent, pre-Late Turonian erosion, as recorded in the discontinuity surface at the base of the overlying carbonate unit (phosphatic horizon V of Marcinowski *et al.* 1996).

#### Upper Turonian-Coniacian

The sandy unit of the Lower and basal Middle Turonian is overlain by the carbonate succession. The boundary is a composite discontinuity surface, with hardgrounds, omission surfaces, phosphatic horizons and reworking. Based on the inoceramid record, this boundary unconformity is associated with a stratigraphic gap spanning most of the Middle Turonian; only the lowermost part of the substage is present in the topmost part of the sandy unit below. The basal part of the carbonate unit is of Late Turonian age.

In the Shakh-Bogota section, the Upper Turonian– Coniacian succession is almost 40 m thick, and it is the most expanded and most complete succession of this age in the region. Consequently, the discussion which follows is based primarily on this record (Text-fig. 2). Supplementary data from other sections are indicated.

The succession starts with an assemblage composed of huge representatives of *Inoceramus* ex gr. *lamarcki* Parkinson, 1818 (Plate 2), representatives of the *Inoceramus inaequivalvis* Schlüter, 1877 group (Pl. 3, Figs F–J; Pl. 5, Fig. E), and small early *?Mytiloides costellatus* (Woods, 1912) (Pl. 3, Fig. D; Pl. 5, Fig. J).

In Shakh-Bogota, this assemblage starts in bed 12, immediately above the phosphatic horizon capping the 38 m thick Early Turonian sandy unit (see (Text-fig. 2 and Marcinowski *et al.* 1966, fig. 3). Bed 13 is rather poor in inoceramids; a single *I. inaequivalvis* and a fragment possibly of *Mytiloides mytiloidiformis* (Tröger, 1967) were found. The same assemblage is known from the Sulu-Kapy section, from beds 18–19 (Text-fig. 3). In other sections this interval is missing.

This lowermost assemblage is followed by an horizon with a mass occurrence of *Mytiloides striatoconcentricus* (Gümbel, 1868) (Pl. 3, Fig. E; Plate 4). The index taxon is associated with *Mytiloides labiatoidiformis* (Tröger, 1967), *Inoceramus longealatus* Tröger 1967 (Pl. 5, Figs A–D, F–H) and *I. inaequivalvis*. This horizon is known only from the Shahk-Bogota section, from bed 14b. It is evidently of secondary origin; associated with the hardground it possibly represents a series of (?storm-generated) redeposition events. Inoceramids of the *M. striatoconcentricus* horizon continue

higher, in units 14c and d, where they are accompanied by *Mytiloides incertus* (Jimbo, 1894) (Text-fig. 2 and Pl. 3, Fig. 3). Again, this assemblage is known only from the Shakh-Bogota section.

After the succeeding interval in which inoceramids are rare, inoceramids re-appear in abundance in the Turonian/Coniacian boundary inoceramid succession. The succession is composed of a series of closely spaced horizons, with swiftly evolving assemblages, represented commonly by mass-occurrences. The succession (Text-fig. 2) starts with (1) an assemblage of Mytiloides scupini (Heinz, 1930) (Pl. 6, Fig. A) and Mytiloides herbichi (Atabekian, 1969), associated with common Didymotis (Pl. 8, Fig. A). It is followed by (2) an almost monospecific assemblage of Inoceramus lusatiae Andert, 1911 (Pl. 6, Fig. C; Pl. 7, Fig. B) similarly as in the Słupia Nadbrzeżna section, and possibly in the Sonnenberg section at Waltersdorf (see Wood et al. 2004) and then by (3) an almost monospecific assemblage of Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis waltersdorfensis (Andert, 1911), accompanied by Didymotis. Immediately above the C. walt. waltersdorfenis horizon appears the first Cremnoceramus deformis erectus (Meek, 1877) (Pl. 5, Figs O, P; Pl. 8, Figs B, F) which, slightly higher, forms an abundance horizon, which may be referred to (4) the C. deformis erectus Event (see Text-fig. 2). Still higher there is an horizon with common Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis hannovrensis (Heinz 1932) (Pl. 5, Figs I, K-N), which seems to correspond to (5) the hannovrensis Event, known from western and central Europe. In the Sulu-Kapy, Shyrkala-Airakty, Kush and Koksyrtau-Akyrtau sections, the Turonian-Coniacian inoceramid succession is much less complete; still farther to the east, e.g., in the Besakty section, the whole boundary interval is contained within an expanded composite hardgound horizon (bed 42 in Marcinowski et al. 1996, fig. 12).

The first *Cremnoceramus crassus inconstans* (Woods, 1912) appears distinctly higher, associated with forms of the *Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis* lineage. The record was documented in the Shakh-Bogota section, where *C. crassus inconstans* appears c. 3 m above the *hannovrensis* Event.

Another 10.5 m higher there is an horizon with common huge *Cremnoceramus deformis deformis* (Meek, 1877) and *Cremnoceramus crassus crassus* (Petrascheck, 1903) (Plate 9). Associated forms are *Inoceramus seitzi* Andert, 1934 and *Tethyoceramus* sp. (Pl. 8, Fig. C)

Higher up in the Coniacian inoceramids become rare. Above the *crassus* Horizon, there is a distinct erosion surface with phosphatic nodules and large inoceramids. Those identified are invariably volviceramids.

| Foraminiferal | events  |   | Crasper, c. asymetrica<br>Stensioeina emsherica<br>Neoflabellina gibbera | <ul> <li>Stensioeina emsherica</li> <li>A. blowi</li> </ul> | C. concavata<br>G. thalmanni / St. emsherica<br>G. kelleri / St. emsherica | <ul> <li>A. cretacea</li> </ul>    | <ul> <li>M. coronata</li> <li>M. pseudolinneiana</li> <li>Gaudinata</li> </ul> |  |    |     |
|---------------|---|---|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|----|-----|
|               |   |   | ตาเกลเ   |   | פאפוסווחוור  | 211                                | 00   |  | -  |     |
|               |   | • • • •   | asber  | səpiolləni  | 190idolD   | Ju                                 | •  |  | E  |     |
|               |   | • • ••  |  |   | ejeneouoo  | ει                                 | atotruncar<br>Noranacar  | лериод   |    |     |
|               |   | • • • •   |  | •••••   | iwold enine  | 901<br>96!                         | qojboəeys  | nA   |    |     |
| S             |   | • • • •   |  | ••• ••  | ••••   | •• •                               | a cretacea   | ninegologoenand  |    |     |
| ife           |   | • • • •   |  | •••   | ••••   | •E                                 | esonuis eu   | kilərdorəfəH<br>KilərdorəfəH                                     | H  |     |
| ic            |   |   |  | ••••  | •••  | ••                                 | oronata  | Mrginotruncana c   |    |     |
| ต             |   | • • • •   | ••• •  | ••••  | ••   | ••                                 | •izn91 er  |  |    |     |
| D             |   | • • • •   | • • • •  | ••••  |  |                                    | •••••!!  | петеленот хііелотетени   | H  |     |
|               |   |   |  |   | • •  | •                                  | • •••  | Izloh elledbedbedbedbedbedbedbedbedbedbedbedbedbe                |    |     |
| in            |   |   | •  | ••••  | ••••   |                                    | ••••   | isnenottind bilenietinw  | -  |     |
| ¥             |   | ••••  |  |   | • • • •  |                                    | • • • • • • •  | teluoileneo ellenintidW  | H  |     |
| an            |   |   |  |   |  | •••                                | •••••!   | nped elleningoid   |    |     |
| ā             |   | • • • •   | ••• •  | ••••  | ••••   | •                                  | •••• <i>•</i> ••   | <ul> <li>Heapergena caspia</li> <li>Heapergena caspia</li> </ul> |    |     |
|               |   |   |  | sisue   | oirləb ellə  | бле                                | өдрөн •  | •  | •  | •   |
|               |   |   |  | pira  | sinalq allə  | бле                                | эqрән •  | •  | ٠  | •   |
|               |   | • Cordiceramus  |  |   |  |                                    |  |  | Ŀ  |     |
|               | ithin the section of | nmerəzonədd •   |  |   |  |                                    |  |  |    |     |
| sn            | latoplicat  | npun snueveceramus undu   |  |   |  |                                    |  |  | H  |     |
|               |   | izjiəs  | i snue<br>10 snu   | Inocerar  |  |                                    |  |  | E  |     |
|               |   | sr  | ssero s  | C. crassus  | •  |                                    |  |  |    |     |
|               |   | รุเพ.   | ojap si<br>Nu spec   | mioteb .J   | •  |                                    |  |  | H  |     |
| <u>v</u>      | SIS   | aortensis hannovren   | siəilew  | snweiaac  | na 0 €   |                                    |  |  |    |     |
| i             |   | erectus   | simiote  | p snwe.ə  | ooumán) (  |                                    |  |  |    |     |
| a_            |   | sisnahob  | szətlew  | Shinerado   | enseriae   |                                    |  |  | H  |     |
| <u> </u>      |   |   |  | iuidnos   | səpiolity  | v •                                |  |  |    |     |
| <u>o</u>      |   |   |  | รทุมอว  | ui səpiolity<br>Villoinas  | W                                  | • •  |  | Ŀ  |     |
| <u>_</u>      |   |   | 10,1400  | 0000048ju   | s sopiolit.<br>ealatus   | rv<br>bu                           | 0] ']  |  | Ŀ  |     |
|               |   |   |  | ,   | snjejjəj   | so                                 | o.l •• •   |  |    |     |
|               |   |   | Sivle  | inaeauive<br>iarcki   | snwejəcoi<br>upi :i6 xə s  | uj<br>sni                          | • •• •   |  | H  |     |
|               |   | 384)  |  | japon e e   |  | ent                                |  |  | E  |     |
| OGOTA         |   | (according to<br>Naidin <i>et al.</i> 15<br>Naidin <i>et al.</i> 15 |  | involutus Horizon   | crassus Horizon  | rectus Event<br>altersdorfensis Ev | triatoconcentricus<br>vent   | ε  |    |     |
| ė             | ।<br>रान्तन्त   | ╸<br>┥ĦĦĦĦĦĦ  | ╎╢┱┮   | ппп   | •  | 50                                 | »ш<br>• ",   |  |    |     |
| İ             |   |   | CCH H  | ╊₽₽₽₽   |  | H                                  |  |  | -  |     |
| ¥             |   | ╶╁╏┟╏┟╏┟╏┟┇┟┇   | ╟╢╢  |   |  | Ηİ                                 | HP P III   | 0  |    |     |
| ₹<br>T        |   | ┝┫┝┫┝┥┝┥┝┥┝┫╘╢┝╣<br>┥┠┥┠┥┝┨┝┨┝┥┝┥┝┥╠╣╠                              | ╘┙┛┝┥┝   | <u>┤┤┤┤</u> ┨╏╸   | ╘┝╎╧╿╧╿╧╿  | 냄                                  |  |  |    |     |
| S             | ╎┟┥┟┦   | ┶╋┶╋┶╋┝╋╔╬╔╬  | ┟╽┟┫┛┦   | ┨┥┥┥╻   |  | 旪                                  | ╘╡┲  |  |    |     |
| erai samples  | tinimero<br>⊢oraminit   |   |  |   | F & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &                                    |                                    |  |  | ,  |     |
|               |   |   |  |   |  |                                    | 70.05  |  |    |     |
| sıəquinu      |   |   |  |   | -  | 1.4                                |  |  |    |     |
| tinu          |   | 17  |  | 15  | 14   |                                    | 13   | 11 01 01   |    | ~   |
| Substage      |   | •   | ber  | qUм   | Lower  | Τ                                  | nbber  | Lower  | ۰. | лод |
| abet2         | .MAO  | NAINOTNAS   | NY   | 410A  |  |                                    | N  | ΑΙΝΟΧΟΙ  | N= | າວ  |
| -             |   |   | 1  |   |  |                                    |  |  |    |     |

PAN





Text-fig. 3. Geological log, chronostratigraphy, inoceramid and foraminiferal ranges and zones in the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section; western Kazakhstan (geological log after Marcinowski *et al.* 1996)





474



Text-fig. 4. Geological log, chronostratigraphy, inoceramid and foraminiferal ranges and zones in the Sulu-Kapy section; western Kazakhstan (geological log after Marcinowski et al. 1996)



Identified to species level is *Volviceramus involutus* (J. de C. Sowerby) (Plate 10), represented by quite advanced forms, indicating the higher parts of its range (Text-fig. 2).

In the succeeding 17.0 m thick chalk unit (unit 15 – see Text-fig. 2) inoceramids are rare. No specimens were found *in situ*. Some loose specimens from the unit are *Volviceramus* sp., and a single form resembling the Late Coniacian *Inoceramus anomalus* Heine, 1929. Others are too poorly preserved to be identified.

In the overlying marly unit of bed 16, ca. 3.4 m above its base, there is an horizon with detritus of *Cladoceramus*, marking the base of the Santonian stage. In the topmost part of the bed an assemblage of Santonian forms, including *Cordiceramus* sp. and *Sphenoceramus pachti* (Arkhangelsky), was found.

#### FORAMINIFERAL RECORD

The best foraminiferal record comes from the Shakh-Bogota, Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau and Sulu-Kapy sections, and is presented in detail in Text-figs 2-4. Foraminiferal ranges are precisely tied to inoceramid occurrences, and the abundance data are given. In total, fifty-five samples were studied for planktonic and benthic foraminifera. Foraminifera were obtained by standard maceration procedure; rock samples were disaggregated with Na2SO4, and clayey samples were boiled with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. Specimens larger than >150 µm were picked and all specimens identified; plankton/benthos ratios were calculated (Text-figs 2-4). Specimens of selected species are illustrated in Plates 11-15. The classification follows Robaszynski et al. (1979), Caron (1985), Loeblich and Tappan (1987), Korchagin (2003) and Premoli Silva and Verga (2004), All photographs were taken on the SEM in the Borissiak Paleontological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow.

Foraminifera are present throughout the Cenomanian–Santonian succession in Mangyshlak, with remarkable fluctuations in their quantity and taxonomic richness from bed to bed. They are rare to absent in the siliciclastic Cenomanian–Lower Turonian sediments; abundant across the Turonian–Coniacian boundary (with a distinct increase in the P/B ratio), and common in the rest of the interval studied. The material is well preserved in the marlstones and moderately well preserved in the limestones. The assemblages are dominated by benthic forms, which provide the basis for the regional zonal scheme. The benthic foraminifera from Mangyshlak were widely discussed previously (Naidin *et al.* 1984; Kopaevich *et al.* 2007; Beniamovski 2008; Beniamovski *et al.* 2013 and literature cited therein) and consequently the discussion herein is focused on planktonic forms. Of the benthic foraminifera only the biostratigraphically important genera, *Gavelinella, Stensioeina* and *Neoflabellina*, are documented in Plates 14 and 15.

#### Planktonic foraminiferal assemblages

In the studied succession the planktonic foraminifera are of low to moderate diversity. In spite of this, twentyfive species are recorded (Text-figs 2–4). They are represented mainly by pandemic hedbergellids and whiteinellids and double-keeled, flat marginotruncanids (see Text-figs 11–13).

The Lower (and in places basal Middle) Turonian intervals of the sections studied are devoid of planktonic foraminifera. However, in Bed 17 of the Sula-Kapy section, Late Turonian whiteinellids, hedbergellids and heterohelicids co-occur with Early Turonian *Dicarinalla elata* Lamolda, *Praeglobotruncana oraviensis* (Scheibnerova) and *P. praehelverica* (Trujillo), which are clearly redeposited. This suggests that the absence of planktonic foraminifera in the Lower–lowermost Middle Turonian siliciclastics is due to taphonomic factors and does not reflect their original absence from the area during the Early Turonian.

Planktonic foraminifera are also common in the highest Cenomanian and lowermost Turonian. Due to the incomplete geological record, this interval is rarely represented in the area. Its foraminiferal record is known only from the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section. The samples from beds 24–27 of the section, corresponding to the *Praeactinocamax plenus* Zone, yielded *Whiteinella archaeocretacea* Pessagno, *W. paradubia* (Sigal), *W. brittonensis* (Loeblich and Tappan), *W. portsdownensis* (Williams-Mitchell), *Hedbergella hoelzli* (Hagn and Zeil), rare *Heterohelix globulosa* (Ehrenberg), *H. moremani* (Cushman), and the keeled *Dicarinella hagni* (Scheibnerova) and *D. imbricata* (Mornod).

The Middle and Upper Turonian planktonic foraminiferal assemblages demonstrate an increase in diversity and quantity of keeled and unkeeled planktonic morphotypes. *Whiteinella* species are common to abundant throughout this interval. Keeled species are represented by *Marginotruncana marginata* (Reuss), *M. pseudolinneiana* (Pessagno), *M. coronata* (Bolli), *M. renzi* (Gandolfi), *Dicarinella canaliculata* (Reuss) and *D. hagni* (Scheibnerova). The first *M. pseudolinneiana*, *M. marginata*, and *D. canaliculata* appear in Bed 12 of the Shakh-Bogota section, and at the base of Bed 18 of the Sulu-Kapy section. Other marginotruncanids appear in Bed 13 of the Shakh-Bogota section and in Bed



#### IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL.

19 of the Sulu-Kapy section. Marginotruncana sinuosa Porthault was found only in the Shakh-Bogota section. Rich marginotruncanids were identified from the base of Bed 38 of the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section. The most common is M. marginata; much less common are other double-keeled species, and single-keeled forms were not recorded at all. M. marginata dominates in Beds 18-21 of the Sulu-Kapy section; D. imbricata, D. hagni and D. canaliculata occur in the same intervals but they appear earlier in the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section (Text-fig. 3),



Text-fig. 5. Geological log, chronostratigraphy, and benthic foraminiferal ranges in the Shakh-Bogota section; LC1-LC11: Beniamovski's (2008) benthic foraminifera zonation for the East European Province of the European Paleobiogeographic Realm; western Kazakhstan (geological log and lithological units after Marcinowski et al. 1996)



as well as in the Crimea-Caucasus area (Kopaevich 2009). C. concavata occurs sporadically in the middle and upper parts of the interval studied. The genus Archaeoglobigerina, represented by A. cretacea (d'Orbigny), A. blowi Pessagno and A. bosquensis Pessagno, is rare to common; A. cretacea appears already together with assemblages of flat marginotruncanids; A. blowi and A. bosquensis are recorded from the middle and upper parts of the interval studied. Globigerinelloides asper (Ehrenberg) and Concavatotruncana asymetrica (Sigal) occur in the overlying intervals; Bed16 of the Shakh-Bogota section and Bed 22 of the Sulu-Kapy section. Globotruncana bulloides (Vogler) and Contusotruncana fornicata (Plummer) were found in the upper part of Bed 22 of the Sulu-Kapy section and from Bed 40 of the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section.

### **Foraminiferal events**

The horizons characterized by mass and/or first occurrences of planktonic and / or benthic taxa are referred herein to as the foraminiferal events. They usually correspond well to critical chrono- and macrobiostratigraphic boundaries (see Text-figs 2–5).

The Whiteinella acme Event is noted at the Cenomanian/Turonian boundary and coincides with the [Praeactinocamax plenus belemnite Event. It is known only from the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section. This event is marked by the sudden appearance of forms characterizing the Hedbergella holzli / Whiteinella archaeocretacea Zone, above the Cenomanian interval dominated by benthic foraminifera. These taxa are widely distributed in space and time; they predominate in high latitudes and occupy shallower, near shore waters and unstable eutrophic environments. Whiteinellids are more abundant in horizons affected by an increased influx of organic carbon (Koksyrtau section). These intermediate morphotypes are inhabitants of mesotrophic environments.

The *Dicarinalla elata* Event is known only from the Sulu-Kapy section, where it occurs close to the Middle/Upper Turonian boundary. The *Gavelinella moniliformis* and *Marginotruncana pseudolinneiana* events occur close to the base of the Upper Turonian.

The Gavelinella kelleri/Stensioeina emsherica Event and Archaeoglobigerina cretacea Event are known from the level of the first appearance of the inoceramid Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis in the Shakh-Bogota section and that of Cremnoceramus deformis erectus in the Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section. The Gavelinella thalmanni / Stensioeina granulata Event and Archaeoglobigerina blowi Event are close to the Early/Middle Coniacian boundary (marked by the first appearance of Volviceramus involutus in the Shakh-Bogota and Sulu-Kapy sections).

The Stensioeina exculpta Event corresponds to the Middle/Late Coniacian boundary. The first appearances of the benthic species Stensioeina incondita and Neo-flabellina gibbera, and of the planktonic species Globigerinelloides asper, Globotruncana bulloides and Contusotruncana fornicata are noted at the Coniacian/Santonian boundary.

## **Plankton/Benthos ratio**

Two peaks of a distinct increase in the Plankton/Benthos ratio (P/B) are noted in the Mangyshlak succession studied herein. The lower peak coincides with the Whiteinella Event (with Hedbergella and Whiteinella forming up to 92% of the foraminiferal assemblages) and is well recorded in the Koksyrtau section. The associated benthic forms, of the genera Lenticulina, Nodosaria and Lingulogavelinella, are rare and taxonomically of low diversity. (Kopaevich 1996). The higher peak is known from all of the sections studied, and spans the Turonian/Coniacian boundary interval (with P/B increasing up to 75%). Besides the dominating planktonic forms, this peak is characterized by taxonomically diverse benthic foraminifera, particularly the calcareous genera Gavelinella, Stensioeina, Gavelinopsis and Reussella, as well as the agglutinating genera Spiroplectammina, Gaudryina, and Marssonella.

Both peaks resulted apparently from the rise of sea level which extended favourable conditions up to the Mangyshlak area. The favourable and stable climate and available water depth had a significant effect on foraminiferal development and distribution. The intensity of sea water circulation favoured the development of selected benthic foraminifera, as well as that of the planoconvex, heavily ornamented planktonic foraminifera (see also Walaszczyk and Peryt 1998; Walaszczyk *et al.* 2010).

## DISCUSSION

#### **Inoceramid zonation**

As demonstrated earlier (Marcinowski *et al.* 1996; but also Naidin *et al.* 1984), and further developed herein, the refined inoceramid zonation for the Turonian and Coniacian worked out in central Europe (Tröger 1989; Ernst *et al.* 1983; Wood *et al.* 1984,

477



478

#### IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL.

2004; Walaszczyk and Wood 1998, 1999; Walaszczyk *et al.* 2010, 2012) can easily be applied to the succession in Mangyshlak and, consequently, to other regions of the peri-Caspian area. The apparent contradictions, as raised sometimes (e.g. Kharitonov *et al.* 2003, 2007), are the result of the incomplete nature of the Cretaceous successions of the region, and of differences in the taxonomic concepts applied to the inoceramid faunas. The latter point, discussed briefly below in the chapter 'Palaeontological Notes', cannot be resolved on the basis of the few and inadequate published records, and indicate the need of new studies, including both additional fieldwork and the re-study of existing material.

Traditionally, the two-fold subdivision of both the Turonian and Coniacian was applied in Mangyshlak, and similarly in all adjacent regions of western Central Asia and south-eastern Europe (e.g. Aliev and Pavlova 1983; Aliev and Kharitonov 1981; Aliev *et al.* 1982; various papers in Moskvin 1987). This two-fold subdivision was claimed by some authors (Kharitonov *et al.* 2003, 2007), as clearly reflecting better the actual changes in the biota living in the area during this time. Biostratigraphically (Text-fig. 6), the Lower Turonian was the equivalent of the *Inoceramus labiatus* Zone and the Upper Turonian embraced the zones of *Inoceramus apicalis, Inoceramus lamarcki* and *Inoceramus woodsi* [the index taxa changed sometimes (e.g. the *I. lamarcki* Zone was often

| STAGES            | SUBSTAGES  | Inoceramid zonation<br>(after Seitz 1970, Wood <i>et al.</i><br>1984, Tröger 1989, Ernst et al. 1983,<br>Walaszczyk and Wood 1998, 1999) | Stratigraphic<br>ranges of the<br>sections studied           | Planktic foraminifera zonation    | Benthic<br>foramini-<br>fera zon-<br>ation | Traditional inoceramid<br>zonation and substage<br>subdivision in south-easte<br>margin of the East-<br>European craton |            | stern |
|-------------------|------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|------------|-------|
| SANT.             | L. (pars)  | Cladoceramus undulatoplicatus  | Shakh-<br>Bogota<br>Sullu-<br>Kapy<br>Koksyrtau-<br>Aksyrtau |                                   | LC8b                                       | Cladoceramus<br>undulatoplicatus  | LOWER      | SANT. |
|                   | ĸ          | Sphenoceramus pachti   |  |                                   |  | Sphenoceramus   |            |       |
|                   | UPPE       | Magadiceramus subquadratus   |  | Concavatotruncana                 |  | Inoceramus<br>involutus   | JPPER      | 7     |
|                   | DLE<br>DLE | Volviceramus involutus   |  | concavata                         | LC8a                                       |   |            | IA    |
|                   | MID        | Volviceramus koeneni   |  |                                   |  |   |            | IIAC  |
|                   | OWER       | Inoceramus gibbosus<br>Cr. crassus crassus + C. def. def.<br>Cremnoceramus crassus inconstans<br>Cr. waltersdorfensis hannovrensis       |  |                                   | LC7  | Inoceramus<br>schloenbachi<br>(=Inoceramus  | OWER       | CON   |
|                   | -          | Cremnoceramus deformis erectus   |  | Manainataunaana                   | LC6  | wanderen)   |            |       |
|                   |            | Cr. waltersdorfensis waltersdorfensis  |  | coronata                          |  | Inoceramus  |            |       |
| N<br>IPPER        | ۲<br>۲     | Mytiloides scupini<br>Mytiloides incertus  |  |                                   | LC4-5                                      | woodsi  | PER        |       |
|                   | dd         | Mytiloides striatoconcentricus   |  |                                   |  | Inoceramus<br>falcatus  |            | AN    |
|                   | _          | Inoceramus perplexus + I. lamarcki   |  | Marginotruncana                   |  |   | UPI        | Ī     |
| NO N              | SLE O      | Inoceramus lamarcki  |  | pseudolinneiana                   | LC3  | Inoceramus<br>apicalis  | mus<br>lis |       |
| TUF<br>LOWER MIDI | ⊒ [        | Mytiloides hercynicus  |  |                                   | LC2  | Inoceramus<br>Iabiatus  | LOWER      | 2     |
|                   | 2          | Mytiloides subhercynicus   |  | Helvetoglobotruncana<br>helvetica |  |   |            |       |
|                   | ۲.         | Mytiloides mytiloides  |  |                                   |  |   |            |       |
|                   | N N        | Mytiloides kossmati  |  |                                   |  |   |            |       |
|                   | 2          | Mytiloides puebloensis   |  |                                   |  |   |            |       |
| CEN.              | U. (pars)  | Mytiloides hattini   |  |                                   | 101  |   |            |       |

Text-fig. 6. Inoceramid and foraminiferal zonations as applied in this paper and their correlations with previous zonations applied by various authors; benthic foraminifera zonation after Beniamovski (2008)





referred to the *I. falcatus* Zone), but this has not influenced the basic concept of the zonation]. Similarly, the Lower Coniacian was regarded the equivalent of the *Inoceramus schloenbachi* Zone (or *Inoceramus wandereri* Zone), and the Upper Coniacian was regarded as the equivalent of the *Inoceramus involutus* Zone.

The applicable inoceramid zonation and its correlation with the 'traditional zonation' as used in the various regions of the per-Caspian area are shown in Textfig. 6. The correlation is relatively straightforward. The Inoceramus labiatus (or Mytiloides) Zone in the traditional zonation may be understood as the range zone of the Mytiloides labiatus group, and ranges, consequently, from the base of the Mytiloides hattini Zone up to the Mytiloides hercynicus Zone. The most difficult to interpret is the traditional Inoceramus apicalis Zone. In comparisons with three-fold subdivision of the Turonian, this zone was usually understood as being equivalent to the I. lamarcki Zone, and would correspond thus, more or less, to the Middle Turonian, or at least it would be the zone below the I. falcatus Zone (which corresponds more or less to the range of Inoceramus ex gr. inaequivalvis). Unfortunately, the upper part of the Middle Turonian is missing in all of the sections studied in Mangyshlak, and the actual first appearance level of *I. inaequivalvis* in the area is unknown; it invariably starts at the base of the Upper Turonian in the sections. The Zone of Inoceramus schloenbachi, or of Inoceramus wandereri, commonly regarded as its strict equivalent, may quite safely be correlated with the series of zones, starting with the Zone of Cremnoceramus deformis erectus and extending up to the Zone of Inoceramus gibbosus. Most of the illustrated specimens of I. schloenbachi are actually C. deformis erectus (see discussion below). The zone of Inoceramus involutus spans an interval from the first appearance of volviceramids to the first appearance of sphenoceramids; consequently, the zone, in its traditional understanding in the area, should be correlated with an interval spanning the Volviceramus koeneni Zone up to the Magadiceramus zones in the Upper Coniacian. It should be noted that Volviceramus, as demonstrated e.g., in Tröger (1989) or Walaszczyk and Cobban (2006), may range very high in the Upper Coniacian, where it is accompanied by Magadiceramus. Although the latter genus was not recorded from Mangyshlak, it is reported from the western Kopet Dag (e.g. Arzumanova 1964) and Daghestan (e.g. Aliev et al. 1982), indicating its presence in the area.

It is worth noting that, at least in the case of the Coniacian, a quite refined zonation was proposed earlier by Kharitonov (first published in Aliev *et al.* 1982, but definitely worked out earlier; also repeated in Kharitonov

1993), based on successions in Daghestan. He recognized, in stratigraphic order, the zones of Inoceramus schloenbachi, Inoceramus deformis, Inoceramus lamarcki lamarcki (in the Lower Coniacian), and the zones of Inoceramus mantelli, Inoceramus involutus, and the zone of Inoceramus subquadratus (in, what is Middle and Upper Coniacian). His I. schloenbachi Zone comprises the Cremnoceramus deformis erectus Zone through to the Cremnoceramus crassus inconstans Zone; his Inoceramus deformis Zone corresponds precisely to the Cremnoceramus crassus crassus+Cremnoceramus deformis deformis Zone; and his I. lamarcki lamarcki Zone corresponds, more or less to the Zone of Inoceramus gibbosus. The three upper zones, correlate precisely with the zonation proposed herein. Unfortunately, for some reason this zonation was never developed further and never adopted as a standard.

#### **Planktonic foraminiferal zonation**

Four successive planktonic foraminiferal zones are potentially recognizable in the Turonian and Coniacian of Mangyshlak. These are (in stratigraphic order): the zones of Helvetoglobotrunaca helvetica, Marginotruncana pseudolinneiana, Marginotruncana coronata and Concavatotruncana concavata. The zone of H. helvetica was not documented, simply because of the lack of planktonic forms in the Lower Turonian siliciclastic successions, but the zone is known from other Cretaceous regions of peri-Caspian area. The M. pseudolinneiana Zone spans the lower Upper Turonian of all of the sections studied (see Text-figs 2-4); the precise chronostratigraphic location of the base of this zone is unknown but, based on other regions, this taxon first appears in the Middle Turonian. The middle Upper Turonian yielded the first M. coronata which, as may be observed in the most complete Shakh-Bogota section, first appears in the uppermost Turonian. Both taxa, M. coronata and M. pseudolinneiana, range higher, to at least the top of the Coniacian. The highest zone, that of C. concavata, is entirely Coniacian, and its base lies within the upper Lower Coniacian (see Text-fig. 2). The base of this zone may be diachronous, as in the lower latitudes, in the Tethys area, its index taxon seems to appear in the latest Turonian. The foraminiferal zonation as recognized herein is very close to that known from Central Europe (Słupia Nadbrzeżna river cliff, see Walaszczyk and Peryt 1998; Salzgitte-Salder Quarry, see Walaszczyk et al. 2010).

No planktonic species found in the Mangyshlak area can be used as a precise marker of the base of the Coniacian. Recently, this boundary is placed within the  $C \cdot concavata$  Zone (Robaszynski *et al.* 1990; Premoli



480

Silva and Sliter 1994; Robaszynski and Caron 1995; Ogg *et al.* 2004). In Mangyshlak, *C. concavata* first appears, however, distinctly later, in the late Early Coniacian. *Marginotruncana sinuosa*, claimed as a good proxy for the base of the Coniacian (Walaszczyk and Peryt 1998) appears, e.g. in the northeastern Caucasus (Tur *et al.* 2001), already in the upper Upper Turonian. The FO of *Marginotruncana renzi* (Gandolfi) documented from the base of the *Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis* Zone in the Voronez high (central part of the East European Platform, Walaszczyk *et al.* 2004) appears distinctly earlier in other regions (Walaszczyk and Peryt 1998; Walaszczyk *et al.* 2010).

Correlation of the foraminiferal scheme with the inoceramid zonation is shown in Text-fig. 6.

The planktonic foraminifera from the Upper Turonian and Lower Coniacian parts of the Mangyshlak sections represent faunas characteristic of the Cretaceous Transitional or Temperate Province (e.g. Hart and Bailey 1979; Pożaryska and Peryt 1979; Caron 1985). They comprise mainly whiteinellids, archaeoglobigerinids, and double-keeled marginotruncanids, and are distinctly less diverse than the Tethyan assemblages. The typical Tethyan and biostratigraphically important umbilico-convex dicarinellids and single-keeled marginotruncanids are either very rare or absent. High diversity planktonic foraminiferal assemblages appear only during distinct deepening intervals of the latest Cenomanian and of the Turonian/Coniacian boundary interval.

### **Benthic foraminiferal zonation**

The Upper Turonian–Coniacian benthic foraminifera are well preserved and abundant. Taxonomic differences between benthic foraminiferal assemblages enable the establishment of biostratigraphical zones and subzones for the territories of the Russian Platform and its southern margin (Kopaevich *et al.* 2007; Beniamovski 2008). The distribution, zonation and the events recognized for benthic foraminifera are presented herein for the Shakh-Bogota section (Text-fig. 5) and its correlation with zonations based on planktonic foraminifera and inoceramids is shown in Text-fig. 6. The critical forms are illustrated in Plates 14 and 15.

# PALAEONTOLOGICAL NOTES ON SELECTED INOCERAMID TAXA

In the following notes, the critical species are briefly discussed in the context of the different taxonomic concepts applied to them by various authors. The material illustrated was mostly collected by the authors from Mangyshlak; however, some forms from adjacent regions are herein re-illustrated and/or references to respective literature sources are made. As can be seen even from this short review, the concepts of particular species vary considerably among the different authors and, moreover, there is a long list of newly introduced inoceramid taxa in the Turonian and Coniacian, based on material from western Central Asia and the Caucasus. Many of these new forms are poorly documented, and a number of names were introduced without any description at all. Although formally these are nomina nuda, and can be forgotten, the question remains, may they simply be included in the synonymy of existing valid taxa, or do they actually document the real taxonomic richness of the inoceramid assemblages of the area. Consequently, a rigorous taxonomic treatment of the inoceramids of all these regions has to be undertaken. Unfortunately, this will have to be postponed for a while, until the restudy of all the original material of these taxa is completed.

#### Mytiloides labiatus (Schlotheim, 1813) group; Plate 1

The group is best represented in the Koksyrtau-Aksyrtau section (Text-fig. 3 and Plate 1), where the succession starting from the Zone of M. kossmati through to the Zone of M. subhercynicus is well recorded. All of the morphotypes as recognized in the latest Cenomanian-early Middle Turonian Mytiloides labiatus lineage (see e.g., Kauffman and Powell in Kauffman et al. 1977; Walaszczyk and Cobban in Kennedy et al. 2000) are recognized in various regions of the peri-Caspian area (e.g. Arkhangelsky 1916; Pavlova 1955, pl. 3, figs 1-2; Dobrov and Pavlova 1959, pl. 2, figs 1 (sub Inoceramus striatoconcentricus) and 2; Aliev and Kharitonov 1988, pl. 5, figs 2, 3; Kharitonov et al. 2007, pl. 1). The claims about the co-occurrence of all forms of the group (lineage) result partly from the lack of precisely located collections, and partly from differences in taxonomic concepts.

*Inoceramus amudariensis* of Arkhangelsky, 1916, described based on material from the Turonian of the lower Amu-Daria River, Kazakhstan, most probably falls into the synonymy of *Mytiloides hercynicus* (Petrascheck, 1903).

#### Mytiloides incertus (Jimbo, 1894); Pl. 3, Fig. A

This species is very rarely represented; just two specimens are known from the Shakh-Bogota section (Text-fig. 2 and Pl. 3, Fig. 9A). This seems, however, to result mostly from poor representation of this part of the Turonian. This species is widely distributed all over



the Northern Hemisphere (Tröger 1967; Noda 1984, Noda and Matsumoto 1998, Walaszczyk and Cobban 2000) but also Madagascar (Diebold *et al.* 2010) and is a good marker of the middle Upper Turonian. First reported from Mangyshlak by Naidin *et al.* (1984: determination by K.-A. Tröger).

# *Mytiloides striatoconcentricus* (Gümbel, 1868); Pl. 3, Fig. E; Pl. 4, Figs A-O

In the Shakh-Bogota section this species forms an accumulation horizon (Text-fig. 2, bed 14b). Also known from the Sulu-Kapy section; in other sections it does not occur. It is a mytiloid-shaped, small to moderate-sized, slightly to moderately inequivalve form, with fine, closely spaced, sometimes slightly lamellate growth lines. The left valve is more inflated; the right quite flat.

Although the species is commonly reported in the older literature from various regions of the peri-Caspian area, none of the illustrated specimens actually represent Gümbel's species. *I. striatoconcentricus* in Pavlova (1955, pl. 5, fig. 1; reillustrated later in Dobrov and Pavlova 1959, pl. 2, fig. 2; and re-illustrated herein in Pl. 7, Fig. D) is *Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis hannovrensis*. The other specimen illustrated in Dobrov and Pavlova (1959, pl. 2, fig. 1) is probably the Early Turonian *Mytiloides hattini* (Elder, 1991). Similarly, two specimens from the Caucasus, illustrated by Aliev and Kharitonov (1988, pl. 8, figs 8, figs 2–3), are *C. waltersdorfensis*.

#### Mytiloides sp.; Pl. 3, Fig. B, C; Pl. 5, Fig. Q

This morphotype is poorly represented, co-occurring with *M. striatoconcentricus*. It closely resembles *Mytiloides ratonensis* Walaszczyk and Cobban, 2000.

### Mytiloides scupini (Heinz, 1930); Pl. 6, Fig. A

Only three specimens of this species were found in the top (but not topmost) Turonian of the Shakh-Bogota section (Text-fig. 2). In the older literature from the whole area the species was most probably referred to *Inoceramus frechi*, as demonstrated by forms illustrated in Egoyan (1955, pl. 4, fig. 5) or Dobrov and Pavlova (1959, pl. 9, fig. 4) (see also discussion in Walaszczyk and Wood 1998).

#### Inoceramus ex gr. lamarcki Parkinson, 1819; Plate 2

Forms referrable to the group of *Inoceramus lamar-cki* Parkinson, 1818, are relatively rare in the material studied. Rare specimens come from the basal Upper Turonian, where they co-occur with early *?Mytiloides costellatus* (Woods, 1912). The large specimen seems to represent the subspecies *I. lamarcki stuemckei* Heinz, as interpreted by Tröger, 1967.

The *I. lamarcki* group is inevitably the most, or at least one of the most perplexing forms of all of the Turonian–Coniacian inoceramid taxa. Its variability range, taxonomic structure and evolution and biogeographic pattern still await serious study.

# *Inoceramus inaequivalvis* Schlüter, 1877; Text-figs 9F–J; 11E

This is the other group that definitely needs further study. These are late Middle and early Late Turonian inequivalve forms, usually also referred to the Inoceramus lamarcki group (e.g. Tröger 1967). As the type of Schlüter's species is known from a single, fragmentarily preserved specimen (Goldfuss 1834-40, pl. 112, figs d, e), the differences between his I. inaequivalvis and other, apparently closely related (if not conspecific) species as described by Heinz (1928, 1932, and in Wolansky 1932) as Inoceramus falcatus and Inoceramus modestus, are unclear. In various regions of the peri-Caspian area, such forms were usually referred, seemingly correctly, to Heinz' species Inoceramus falcatus (e.g. Aliev and Kharitonov 1988), and the species has long been used as the index taxon of the eponymous (lower) Upper Turonian Zone.

# *Inoceramus costellatus* Woods, 1912 (*=Inoceramus woods* Böhm, 1913); Pl. 3, Fig. 5; Pl. 5, Fig. J

The Woods species concept was long used in central and western Europe for small-sized, erect, regularly rugate forms, characterizing the lower Upper Turonian. These forms were later referred to *Inoceramus perplexus* Whitfield (see Walaszczyk and Wood 1998 and Walaszczyk and Cobban 2000). The Woods species is a mytiloid form that closely resembles smallsized representatives of *Mytiloides scupini* (Heinz) and possibly is an earlier member of the *costellatusscupini* lineage.

The species is commonly reported from the southeastern margin of the East-European Craton, and is usually referred to *Inoceramus woods* Böhm, 1913, which is the replacement name for the Woods species, as the name *costellatus* was preoccupied by *Inoceramus costellatus* Conrad 1855–1858. The species was the index taxon of the eponymous highest Turonian inoceramid zone in the whole south-eastern margin of the Russian Craton.

# *Inoceramus* sp. cf. *Inoceramus longealatus* Tröger, 1967; Pl. 5, Figs A–D, F–H

There are several small-sized specimens from the level of the *Mytiloides striatoconcentricus* Event, with subquadrate outline, fine to lamellate growth line and well extended posterior auricle, which closely resemble



482

#### IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL.

*Inoceramus longealatus* Tröger, 1967. The species is poorly known as far as its origin as well as stratigraphic range is regarded. It is known from all over Europe and also from the US Western Interior (Tröger 1967; Walaszczyk and Cobban 2000).

# *Inoceramus lusatiae* Andert, 1911; Pl. 6, Fig. C; Pl. 7, Fig. B

This species definitely belongs to the Inoceramus lamarcki group, but possesses a distinct Mytiloides outline. The species was commonly reported from the area; however, none of the illustrated specimens actually represents Andert's species (see also discussion in Walaszczyk and Wood 1998). The specimens in Aliev and Kharitonov (1988, pl. 8, fig. 4 and pl. 10, fig. 1) are *Mytiloides*, and the one in their pl. 10, is Mytiloides scupini (Heinz). Similarly, the specimens illustrated by Dobrov and Pavlova (1959, p. 151, pl. 4, figs 5, 6), are close to *Mytiloides scupini* (Heinz). Egoyan's (1955, pl. 2, fig. 7 and pl. 3, figs 4, 5) Inoceramus lusatiae from Armenia are invariably Late Turonian *Mytiloides* species; the original of his pl. 2, fig. 7, referred by him to a new variety grata, is very much like Mytiloides striatoconcentricus (Gümbel) and the original of his pl. 3, fig. 4, is most probably Mytiloides incertus (Jimbo). Inoceramus lusatiae of Pavlova (1955, pl. 4, fig. 5; re-illustrated herein in Text-fig. 11B) is Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis hannovrensis (Andert), and the specimens illustrated by Aliev and Kharitonov (1988, pl. 8, fig. 4 and pl. 10, fig. 1) are definitely Mytiloides sp., the original of their pl. 10, fig. 1 being Mytiloides scupini (Heinz). Of the four different specimens referred to Inoceramus lusatiae by Khalavova (1968) at least two (Khalafova 1968, pl. 1, figs 10, 11) represent early Cremnoceramus deformis erectus (Meek) and the other two (Khalafova 1968, pl. 1, figs 8, 9) may similarly represent either C. deformis erectus or some Late Turonian Mytiloides.

# *Tethyoceramus wandereri* (Andert, 1911); Pl. 7, Figs C, D, E; Pl. 8, Fig. C

According to its original illustration in Andert (1911, pl. 5, figs 1a, b), it is a narrow, high morphotype, with the umbonal part projecting strongly above the hinge line, and with *Cremnoceramus*-type ornament. The type species comes from Dachsloch (Zittauergebirge), at the boundary between Bohemia (in the Czech Republic) and Saxony (in Germany), apparently from the level of the *Cremnoceramus crassus crassus* Zone. The species is a representative of the genus *Tethyoceramus* (see discussion in Walaszczyk and Wood 1999).

The species is widely reported from various regions of the peri-Caspian area: Central Asia (Mangyshlak, Tuarkyr, Kopet Dag; Atabekian and Likhacheva 1961; Aliev and Kharitonov 1981, 1988; Aliev et al. 1982), the Caucasus (Daghestan, Azerbaijan, Armenia; e.g., Pavlova 1955; Dobrov and Pavlova 1959; Khalafova 1968; Pergament and Smirnov 1972; Aliev and Kharitonov 1988), Crimea (Atabekian 1997), Donbass (Kotsubinsky 1974; Ivannikov 1979) and western Ukraine (Kotsubinsky 1968). This is the index species of the eponymous Zone and corresponds in its range to the Lower Coniacian (e.g. Atabekian in Moskvin 1987). As may be judged from the illustrated reports, the species was interpreted very widely, and apparently all tethyoceramids were referred to this species, and even some cremnoceramids, but with elongated adult stage. In fact, forms that can safely be referred to Tethyoceramus wandereri in the sense of Andert (1911) are rare [Pavlova (1955, pl. 9, figs 1, 2; but not her pl. 9, figs 3-5, which is Cremnoceramus inconstans; possibly her pl. 8, figs 1, 2); none of the specimens illustrated by Dobrov and Pavlova (1959); or Aliev and Kharitonov (1988); possibly forms illustrated by Kotsubinsky (1968, 1974) from Donbass and the Lviv region]

## *Cremnoceramus deformis erectus* (Meek, 1877); Pl. 6, Fig. D; Pl. 7, Fig. A; Pl. 8, Figs B, E, F

The species is well represented in most of the studied sections in western Mangyshlak. It forms a distinct zone at the base of the Coniacian, albeit the species ranges higher. The species was also widely illustrated from the Caucasus and peri-Caspian regions, albeit usually referred to *Inoceramus schloenbachi* Böhm. Good examples are: *I. schloenbachi* in Dobrov and Pavlova (1959, pl. 8, figs 1, 2) or in Aliev and Kharitonov (1988, pl. 9, figs 2, 3). Also most probably conspecific with *C. deformis erectus* are Kharitonov's (in various papers) 'early (or small) *Inoceramus schloenbachi*' but unfortunately these were never illustrated by him.

#### Cremnoceramus inconstans (Woods, 1912)

Specimens illustrated and referred to this species represent various forms, with some only referable to *C. inconstans* in a narrow sense, as recently interpreted by Walaszczyk and Wood (1998). Usually these are various cremnoceramids with well-developed geniculation, irrespective of their juvenile stage. A number of these forms represent *C. deformis erectus*, as e.g. Dobrov and Pavlova (1959, pl. 5, fig. 1) or Aliev and Kharitonov (1988, pl. 7, fig. 3; but not fig. 4, which is *Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis waltersdorfensis*).



### *Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis hannovrensis* (Heinz, 1932); Pl. 5, Figs I, K-N; Pl. 6, Fig. B, E; Pl. 8, Fig. D

This subspecies of the *C. waltersdorfensis* lineage is well represented in the record, above the zone of *C. deformis erectus* of the basal Lower Coniacian (Text-figs 2 and Pl. 5, Figs I, K–N). This taxon was also reported from various adjacent areas, albeit usually referred to *Inoceramus striatoconcentricus* Gümbel (see Pl. 8, Fig. D from Pavlova 1955, pl. 5, fig. 1 and Dobrov and Pavlova 1959, pl. 2, fig. 2) or to *Inoceramus lusatiae* Andert (Pl. 6, Figs B, E from Pavlova 1955, pl. 4, fig. 5). See also discussion under *I. lusatiae* and *M. striatoconcentricus*.

#### Cremnoceramus crassus (Petrascheck, 1903) (= Inoceramus schloenbachi Böhm, 1909); Plate 9

Conspecific with *C. crassus crassus* are specimens illustrated by Atabekian (1974, pl. 103, fig. 2 and pl. 104, fig. 3), Ivannikov (1979, pl. 26, figs 1, 2), and possibly Kotsubinsky (1974, pl. 15, fig. 3), although the latter may represent *C. deformis deformis*. To this species also belong *Inoceramus inconstans achuraensis* subsp. nov. of Khalafova (1968, pp. 166–167, pl. 8, fig. 7; pl. 9, fig. 1), and her *Inoceramus quasiinconstans* sp. nov. (Khalafova 1968, pp. 168–170, pl. 9, figs 2–3), as well as *Inoceramus chosrovensis* of Atabekian (1974, pl. 106, fig. 1; pl. 107, fig. 1). A number of *C. crassus* (= *I schloenbachi* of authors) represent *C. deformis erectus*, as interpreted herein (see discussion under this latter taxon)

### Volviceramus involutus (J. de C. Sowerby, 1829); Textfig. 10

The species is well represented in the *involutus* Horizon in the Shakh-Bogota section, but also in other sections studied herein (Text-figs 2–4). In all horizons occur large-sized forms typical of evolutionarily late members of the lineage. The older members seem to be lacking in the record.

#### Acknowledgements

Sasha Alekseev and Christopher Wood are thanked for their insightful reviews of the final version of this paper. The material studied was collected during two field expeditions to the Mangyshlak Mts., in 1992 and 1999, led by the late Ryszard Marcinowski. I. Walaszczyk acknowledges the support from his Faculty of Geology of the University of Warsaw and the constant help of Kevin McKinney, of the USGS in Denver. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project 12-05-00263, 12-05-00690, 13-05-00447.

#### REFERENCES

- Alekseev, A.S., Kopaevich, L.F., Nikishin, A.M., Kuuzmicheva, T.A., Ovechkina M.N. 2007. Cenomanian-Turonian boundary in Southwestern Crimea. Paper 1. Stratigraphy. *Byulletin MOIP. Geology*, **82**, 3–29. [In Russian]
- Aliev, M.M. and Kharitonov, V.M. 1981. Stratigraphic distribution of Inoceramus in the Upper Cretaceous deposits of Azerbaijan. *Izvestia Akademii Nauk Azerbaydjanskoy* SSR, Seria Nauk o Zemle, 2(1981), 3–12. [In Russian]
- Aliev, M.M. and Kharitonov, V.M. 1988. Inoceramids. Upper Cretaceous. In: Aliza-Zade, A.A., Aliev, G.A., Aliev, M.M., Alijlla, K and Khalilov, A.G. (Eds), Cretaceous fauna of Azerbaijan, pp. 250–273. Elm; Baku.
- Aliev, M.M. and Pavlova, M.M. 1983. Stratigraphic and paleoecologic peculiarities of inoceramides of the Upper Cretaceous of the south of the USSR. *Izvestia Akasdemii Nauk Azerbaydjanskoy SSR, Seria Nauk o Zemle*, 4(1983), 97–102. [In Russian]
- Aliev, M.M., Pavlova, M.M. and Kharitonov, V.M. 1982. Biostratigraphic subdivision of the Upper Cretaceous deposits of Daghestan based on inocerams. *Izvestia Akasdemii Nauk Azerbaydjanskoy SSR, Seria Nauk o Zemle*, 4(1982), 3–11. [In Russian]
- Andert, H. 1911. Die Inoceramen des Kreibitz-Zittauer Sandsteingebirges. Festschrift des Humboldtvereins zur Feier seines 50 jahrigen Bestehens, 33–64. Ebersbach.
- Andert, H. 1934. Die Kreideablagerungen zwischen Elbe und Jeschken Teil III: Die Fauna der obersten Kreide in Sachsen, Böhmen und Schlesien. Abhandlungen der Preußischen Geologischen Landesanstalt, Neue Folge 159, 1–447.
- Arkhangelsky, A.D. 1916. Les mollusques du crétacé supérieur de Turkestan. Mémoires de Comité Géologique, Nouvelle Série, 152, 1–57. [In Russian]
- Arzumanova, E.M. 1964. Some representatives of the family Inoceramidae in the Upper Cretaceous deposits of western Kopet-Dagh. *Izvestia Akademii Nauk Turkmenskoy* SSR, Seria Fizyko-Technitcheskich, Chmitcheskich and Geologitscheskich Nauk, 3, 101–110.
- Atabekian, A.A. 1969. On some homonyms in Jurassic and Cretaceous inoceramids. *Izvestia Akademii Nauk Armi*anskoy SSR, 1 (1969), 3–15. [In Russian]
- Atabekian A.A. 1974. Inoceramids. In: Atlas of fossil fauna of Armenian SSR, 211–424. Erevan. [In Russian]
- Atabekian, A.A. 1997. Inoceramidae. In: V.V. Arkadiev and T.N. Bogdanova (Eds), Atlas of the Cretaceous fauna of southwestern Crimea, pp. 63–70. [In Russian]
- Atabekian, A.A. and Likhacheva, A.A. 1961. Upper Cretaceous deposits of western Kopet -Dag. Trudy VSEGEI, Nova Seriya, 62. Leningrad. [In Russian]
- Badillet, G. and Sornay, J. 1980. Sur quelques formes du



IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL

484

groupe d'Inoceramus labiatus decrites par O. Seitz. Impossibilite d'utiliser ce groupe pour une datation stratigraphique du Turonien inferieur du Saumurois (France). Compte Rendu Academie Science Paris, Serie D, 290, 323-325.

- Baraboshkin, E.Yu., Alekseev, A.S., Kopaevich, L.F. 2003 Cretaceous Paleogeography of the North-Eastern Peri-Tethys. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology. 196, 177-208.
- Beniamovski, V.N. 2008. Infrazonal biostratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous in the East European Province based on benthic foraminifers, Part 1: Cenomanian-Coniacian. Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation, 6, 257–266.
- Böhm, J. 1914. Zusammenstellung der Inoceramen der Kreideformation (Nachtrag). Jahrbruch der Preußischen Geologischen Landesanstalt, 35/1, 595-599.
- Caron, M. 1985. Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera. In: H.M. Bolli, J.B. Saunders and K. Perch-Nielsen (Eds), Plankton stratigraphy, pp. 17-86. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge.
- Conrad, T.A. 1855-58. Observations on a group of Cretaceous fossil shells, found in Tippah County, Miss., with description of fifty-six new species. Journal of the Academy of Natural Science of Philadelphia, 3, 323-336.
- Diebold, F., Bengtson, P., Lees, J.A. and Walaszczyk, I. 2010. Ammonite, inoceramid and nannofossil biostratigraphy across the Turonian-Coniacian boundary in the Aquitaine and Vocontian basins (France) and Diego Basin (Madagascar). Abstract Volume of the 8th International Symposium, Cephalopods - Present and Past (Dijon - France 2010).
- Dobrov, S.A. and Pavlova, M.M. 1959. Inoceramids. In: M.M. Moskvin (Ed.), Atlas of the Upper Cretaceous fauna of northern Caucasus and Crimea, pp. 130-165. Moscow. [In Russian]
- Egoyan, V.L. 1955. Upper Cretaceous deposits of south-western part of Armenian SSR, 270 pp. Akademia Nauk Armianskoy SSR; Erevan. [In Russian]
- Elder, W.P. 1991. Mytiloides hattini n. sp., a guide fossil for the base of the Turonian in the Western Interior of North America. Journal of Paleontology 65, 234-241.
- Ernst, G., Schmid, F. and Seibertz, E. 1983. Event-Stratigraphie im Cenoman und Turon von NW Deutschland. Zitteliana, 10, 531-554.

Goldfuss, A. 1834-40. Petrefakta Germaniae tam ea, quae in museo, 2, 106-118. Dusseldorf.

Gümbel, C.W. 1868. Verzeichnis der in der Sammlung des Geol.-Mineral. Vereins in Regensburg vorfindlischen Versteinerungen aus den Schichten der 'Procän-oder Kreideformation aus der Umgebung von Regensburg. Correspondenz-Blatt des Zoologisch-Mineralogischen Vereins in Regensburg, 8 p. 22, 69.

Hart, M.B. and Bailey, H.W. 1979. The distribution of plank-

tonic Foraminiferida in the mid-Cretaceous of NW Europe. In: Wiedmann, J. (Ed.). Aspekte der Kreide Europas. International Union of Geological Sciences, Series A 6, p. 527-542.

- Heine, F. 1929. Die Inoceramen des mittelwestfalischen Emschers und unteren Untersenons. Abhandlungen der Preussischen Geologischen Landesanstalt, Neue Folge, 120, 1-124.
- Heinz, R. 1926. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Stratigraphie und Tektonik der oberen Kreide Lüneburg. Mitteilungen aus dem Mineralogisch-Geologischen Staatinstitut, Hamburg, 8, 3-109.
- Heinz, R. 1928. Das Inoceramen-Profil der Oberen Kreide Lüneburgs. Mit Anführung der neuen Formen und deren Kennzeichnung. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der oberkretazischen Inoceramen I. Jahresbericht des Niedersächsischen geologischen Vereins zu Hannover, 21, 64-81.
- Heinz, R. 1930. Zur stratigraphischen Stellung der Sonnebergschichten bei Waltersdorf i. Sa. (westsüdwestlich von Zittau). Beiträge zur Kenntnis der oberkretazischen Inoceramen IX. Jahresbericht des Niedersachsischen geologischen Vereins zu Hannover, 23, 25-29.
- Heinz, R. 1932. Zur Gliederung der sächsisch-schlesischbohmischen Kreide unter Zugrundelegung der norddeutschen Stratigraphie. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der oberkretazischen Inoceramen X. Jahresbericht des Niedersächsischen geologischen Vereins zu Hannover, 24, 24-52.
- Ivannikov, A.V. 1979. Inoceramids of the Upper Cretaceous in southwestern part of the East-European Platform, pp. 1-102. Akademia Nauk Ukrainskoy SSR, Instytut Geologitscheskich Nauk; Kiev. [In Russian]
- Jimbo, K. 1894. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Fauna der Kreideformation von 'Hokkaido. Palaeontologische Abhandlungen, N.F., 2 (3), 140-198.
- Kauffman, E.G. 1973. Cretaceous bivalvia. In: A. Hallam (Ed.), Atlas of Palaeobiogeography, pp. 353-383. Elsevier; Amsterdam.
- Kauffman, E.G., Hattin, D.E. and Powell, J.D. 1977. Stratigraphic, paleontologic and paleoenvironmental analysis of the Upper Cretaceous rocks of Cimarron County, Northwestern Oklahoma. Memoir of the Geological Society of America, 149, 1-150.
- Kennedy, W.J., Walaszczyk, I.and Cobban, W.A. 2000. Pueblo, Colorado, USA, candidate Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point for the base of the Turonian Stage of the Cretaceous, and for the base of the Middle Turonian Substage, with a revision of the Inoceramidae (Bivalvia). Acta Geologica Polonica, 50, 295-334.
- Khalafova, R.A. 1968. Fauna and stratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous deposits of the SE part of the Small Caucasus and Nachitchewan area of ASSR, pp. 1-330. Erevan. [In Russian]



#### UPPER CRETACEOUS OF MANGYSHLAK, WESTERN KAZAKHSTAN

- Kharitonov, V.M. 1993. Stratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous deposits of the Araksinskoy tectonic zone of Back-Caucasus Zone. *Oteczestvennaya Geologia*, **12**, 35–42. [In Russian]
- Kharitonov, V.M., Ivanov, A.V. and Seltzer, V.B. 2003. Stratigraphy of the Turonian and Coniacian deposits of the Lower Povolzhe. *Volga and Pricaspian Region Resources*, 36, 48–60. [In Russian]
- Kharitonov, V.M., Marinov, V.A., Ivanov, A.V. and Fomin, V.A. 2007. Upper Cretaceous inoceramids from coresections from the West-Siberian plain and some questions on stratigraphy of the Turonian Stage. *Izvestia Saratovskovo Universiteta*, 7, Seria Nauk o Zemle, 2, 61–70. [In Russian]
- Koch, W. 1977. Biostratigraphie in der Oberkreide und Taxonomie von Foraminiferen. *Geologisches Jahrbuch*, A38, 11–123.
- Kopaevich, L.F. 1989. Palaeodepth on the base of the analysis planktonic foraminifera assemblages (on materials of Pericaspian sections). *Moscow University Geology Bulletin.* Ser. 4. Geology, 3, 25–34.
- Kopaevich, L.F. 1996. The Turonian strata in southwestern Crimea and Mangyshlak (foraminiferal biostratigraphy and palaeobiogeography). *Mitteilungen aus dem Geologisch.-Paläontologischen Institut der Universität Hamburg*, 77, 203–211.
- Kopaevich, L.F. 2010. Upper Cretaceous zonal scheme for Crimea-Caucasus area on the base of globotruncanids *Bulletin MOIP. Geology*, **85**, 40–52. [In Russian]
- Kopaevich, L.F. 2011. The value of planktonic foraminifers in the stratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous of the East European Platform. *Bulletin MOIP, Geology*, 86, 32–45.
- Kopaevich L., Alekseev A.S., Baraboshkin E.Yu., Beniamovskii V.N. 1999. Cretaceous sedimentary units of Mangyshlak Peninsula (western Kazakhstan). *Geodiversitas*, **21**, 407–419.
- Kopaevich, L.F., Beniamovski, V.N. and Sadekov A.Yu. 2007. Middle Coniacian–Santonian foraminiferal bioevents around the Mangyshlak Peninsula and Russian Platform. *Cretaceous Research*, 28, 108–118.
- Korchagin V.A. 2003. Classification Mesozoic planktonic foraminifers (superfamiles Planomalinacea, Totaliporacea and Globotruncanacea). GEOS, 1–90. Moscow.
- Kotsubinsky, S.P. 1968. Inoceramidae. In: Fauna of the Cretaceous deposits of the Western Ukraine, 115–148. Kiev. [In Ukrainian]
- Kotsubinsky, S.P. 1974. Inocerams. In: Krymgoltz, G.J. (Ed.), Atlas of the Upper Cretaceous Fauna of Donbass, pp. 76–86. Nedra; Moscow. [In Russian]
- Loeblich, A.R. and Tappan, H.N. 1987. Foraminiferal genera and their classification, 970 pp. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company; New York.
- Mantell, G.A. 1822. The fossils of the South Downs; or illus-

trations of the geology of Sussex. xvi + 327 p. Lupton Relfe; London.

- Marcinowski, R., Walaszczyk, I. and Olszewska-Nejbert, D. 1996. Stratigraphy and regional development of the mid-Cretaceous (Upper Albian through Coniacian) of the Mangyshlak Mountains, Western Kazakhstan. Acta Geologica Polonica, 46, 1–60.
- Meek, F.B. 1877. Paleontology. Report of the geological exploration of the 40th parallel. *Professional Paper of the Engineer Department of the United States Army*, **184**, 142–148.
- Moskvin, M.M. (Ed.) 1987. Stratigraphy of the USSR. Cretaceous System, Part 2, 326 pp. Nedra; Moscow.
- Muromtzev, V.S. 1973. The question on paleotectonic development of Mangyshlak Peninsula. In: V.S. Muromtzev (Ed.), New data on geology and oil-resources of Mangyshlak. *Trudy VNIGRI*, **344**, 89–113. [In Russian]
- Naidin, D.P. 1959. On the paleogeography of the Russian platform during the Upper Cretaceous epoch. *Stockholm contributions in geology*, 3, 127–138.
- Naidin, D.P., Beniamowski, V.N. and Kopaevich, L.F. 1984. Methods of transgression and regression study (exemplified by Late Cretaceous basins of west Kazakhstan), pp. 1–162. Izdatelstvo Moskovskovo Universiteta; Moskva. [In Russian with English summary]
- Naidin, D.P., Beniamovski, V.N and Kopaevich, L.F. 1994. Palaeogeographic basis of stratigraphic schemes, pp. 1– 135. Izdatelstvo Moskovskovo Universiteta; Moskva. [In Russian with English summary]
- Natal'in, B.A., Sengör, A.M.C. 2006. Late Palaeozoic to Triassic evolution of the Turan and Scythian platforms: the pre-history of the Palaeo-Tethyan closure. *Tectonophysics*, 404, 175–202.
- Noda, M. 1984. Notes on *Mytiloides incertus* (Cretaceous, Bivalvia) from the Upper Turonian of the Pombets area, Central Hokkaido. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Paleontological Society of Japan*, N.S., **136**, 455–473.
- Noda, M. and Matsumoto, T. 1998. Palaeontology and stratigraphy of the inoceramid species from the mid-Turonian through upper Middle Coniacian in Japan. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, 48, 432–482.
- Ogg, J.G., Agtenberg, F.P. and Gradstein, F.M. 2004. The Cretaceous Period. In: F.M. Gradstein, J. Ogg and A. Smith (Eds), a Geologic Time Scale 2004, pp. 344–383. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Parkinson, J. 1818. Remarks on the fossils collected by Mr. Phillips near Dover and Folkstone. *Transactions of the Geological Society*, 1, 1–55. London.
- Pavlova, M.M. 1955. Inoceramids of the Upper Cretaceous deposits of Daghestan. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Moscow State University; Moscow. [In Russian]
- Pergament, M.A. and Smirnov, J.P. 1972. Vertical distribution and stratigraphic importance of inocerams in the Upper Cretaceous sections of Daghestan. In: Pergament, M.A.



486

#### IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL.

(Ed.), Transactions of the All-Union colloquium on inocerams, pp. 94–113. Moscow. [In Russian]

- Pessagno, E.A. Jr. 1967. Upper Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera from the Western Gulf Coastal Plain. *Palaeontographica Americana*, 5, 259–441.
- Petrascheck, W. 1903. Ueber Inoceramen aus der Kreide Böhmens und Sachsen. Jahresberichte der Kaiserlisch-Königlichen Geologischen Reichsanstalt, 53 (1), 153– 168.
- Pożaryska, K. and Peryt, D. 1979. The Late Cretaceous and Early Paleocene foraminiferal "Transition Province" in Poland. Aspekte der Kreide Europas, International Union of Geological Sciences, Series A, 6, 293–303.
- Premoli Silva, I. and Sliter, W.V. 1994. Cretaceous planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy and evolutionary trends from the Bottaccione Section, Gubbio, Italy. *Palaeontographica Italiana*, 81, 2–90.
- Premoli Silva, I. and Verga, D. 2004. Practical Manual of Cretaceous Planktonic Foraminifera. In: D. Verga and R. Rettori (Eds), International School on Planktonic Foraminifera, 30 Course. Cretaceous. 283 pp. Universities of Perugia and Milan, Tipografia Pontefelcino; Perugia.
- Robaszynski, F. and Caron, M. (Eds) and European Working Group on Planktonic Foraminifera. 1979. Atlas de foraminiferes planctoniques du Crétacé moyen (mer boréale et Téthys). *Cahiers de Micropaléontologie*, **1-2**, 1–366.
- Robaszynski F. and Caron M. 1995. Foraminiferes planctoniques du Crétacé: commentaire de la zonation Europe-Mediterranée. *Bulletin de la Société géologique de France*, 166, 681–692.
- Robaszynski, F., Caron, M., Dupuis, C., Amedro, F., Gonzales-Donoso, J.M., Linares, D., Hardenbol, J., Gartner, S., Calandra, F. and Deloffre, R. 1990. A tentative integrated stratigraphy in the Turonian of Central Tunisia: Formations, zones and sequential stratigraphy in the Kalaat Senan area. *Bulletin des Centres de Recherches Exploration-Production Elf-Aquitaine*, 14, 213–384.
- Schlotheim, von E.T. 1813. Beiträge zur Naturgeschichte der Versteinerungen in geognostischer Hinsicht. Leonhard's Taschenbuch fur Mineralogie 5-7, 93 pp. Frankfurt am Main.
- Schlüter, C. 1877. Kreide-Bivalven. Zur Gattung Inoceramus. Palaeontographica, 24, 250–288.
- Stampfli, G.M., Borel, G.D., Cavazza, W., Mosar, J., Ziegler P.A. 2001. Palaeotectonic and palaeogeographic evolution of the western Tethys and Peritethyan domain (IGCP Project 369). *Episodes*, 24, 221–228.
- Tröger, K.-A. 1967. Zur Palaontologie, Biostratigraphie und faziellen Ausbildung der unteren Oberkreide (Cenoman bis Turon). Teil I: Palaontologie und Biostratigraphie der Inoceramen des Cenomans bis Turons. *Abhandlungen*

des Staatlichen Museums fur Mineralogie und Geologie zu Dresden, **12**, 13–208.

- Tröger, K.-A. 1989. Problems of Upper Cretaceous inoceramid biostratigraphy and paleobiogeography in Europe and Western Asia. In: Wiedmann, J. (Ed.), Cretaceous of the Western Tethys. Proceedings 3<sup>rd</sup> International Cretaceous Symposium, Tübingen 1987, pp. 911–930. E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung; Stuttgart.
- Tur, N.A., Smirnov, J.P. and Huber, B. 2001. Late Albian Coniacian planktic foraminifera and biostratigraphy of the northeastern Caucasus. *Cretaceous Research*, 22, 719–734.
- Walaszczyk, I. and Cobban, W.A. 2000. Inoceramid faunas and biostratigraphy of the Upper Turonian – Lower Coniacian of the Western Interior of the United States. *Special Papers in Palaeontology*, 64, 1–118.
- Walaszczyk, I. and Cobban, W. A. 2006. Inoceramid fauna and biostratigraphy of the middle Upper Coniacian and Santonian of the US Western Interior. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, 56, 241–348.
- Walaszczyk, I., Kopaevich, L.F. and Olferiev, A.G. 2004. Inoceramid/foraminiferal succession of the Turonian and Coniacian (Upper Cretaceous) of the Briansk region (Central European Russia). Acta Geologica Polonica, 54, 597–609.
- Walaszczyk, I., Lees, J.A., Peryt, D., Cobban, W.A. and Wood, C.J. 2012. Testing the congruence of the macrofossils versus microfossil record in the Turonian–Coniacian boundary succession of the Wagon Mound–Springer composite section (NE New Mexico, USA). Acta Geologica Polonica, 62, 581–594.
- Walaszczyk, I. and Peryt, D. 1998. Inoceramid-foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Turonian through Santonian deposits of the Middle Vistula Section, Central Poland. Zentralblatt für Geologie und Paläontologie I, 11/12, 1501– 1513.
- Walaszczyk, I. and Wood, C.J. 1998. Inoceramids and biostratigraphy at the Turonian/Coniacian boundary; based on the Salzgitter-Salder Quarry, and the Słupia Nadbrzeżna section, Central Poland. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, 48, 395–434.
- Walaszczyk, I., Wood, C.J., 1999. Inoceramid stratigraphy. In: Niebuhr, B., Baldschuhn, R., Ernst, E., Walaszczyk, I., Weiss, W., Wood, C.J., The Upper Cretaceous Succession (Cenomanian–Santonian) of the Staffhorst Shaft, Lower Saxony, Northern Germany: Integrated Biostratigraphic, Lithostratigraphic and Downhole Geophysical Log Data. Acta Geologica Polonica, 49, 175–213.
- Walaszczyk, I., Wood, C.J., Lees, J.A., Peryt, D., Voigt, S. and Wiese, F. 2010. The Salzgitter-Salder Quarry (Lower Saxony, Germany) and Słupia Nadbrzeżna river cliff section (central Poland): a proposed candidate composite Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point for the Coniacian Stage (Upper Cretaceous). Acta Geologica Polonica, 60, 445–477.



#### UPPER CRETACEOUS OF MANGYSHLAK, WESTERN KAZAKHSTAN

- Wolansky, D. 1932. Die Cephalopoden und Lamellibranchiaten der Ober-Kreide Pommerns. Abhandlungen des Geologisch-Palaeontogischen Institut Greifswald, 9, 1–72.
- Wood, C.J., Ernst G. and Rasemann, G. 1984. The Turonian-Coniacian stage boundary in Lower Saxony (Germany) and adjacent areas: the Salzgitter-Salder Quarry as a proposed international standard section. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark*, **33**, 225–238.
- Wood, C.J., Walaszczyk, I., Mortimore, R.N and Woods, M.A. 2004. New observations on the inoceramid biostratigraphy of the higher part of the Upper Turonian and the Turonian – Coniacian boundary transition in Poland, Germany and the UK. Acta Geologica Polonica, 54, 541–549.
- Woods, H. 1912. A monograph of the Cretaceous lamellibranchia of England. *Palaeontographical Society, Monograph*, 2, 262–340.

*Manuscript submitted:* 15<sup>th</sup> October 2013 *Revised version accepted:* 25<sup>th</sup> November 2013

IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 1

# PLATE 1

### Mytiloides labiatus (Schlotheim, 1813) group

- A *Mytiloides mytiloides* (Mantell, 1822), ZI/45/3051; Shyrkala-Airakty section, bed 22 (see Marcinowski *et al.* 1996, text-fig. 6);
- **B** *Mytiloides goppelnensis* (Badillet and Sornay, 1980), ZI/45/3052, Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section, unit 29;
- C, F *Mytiloides hattini* Elder, 1991; C ZI/45/3053, F ZI/45/3054; both from Aksyrtau-Koksyrtau section, unit 29;
  - D Mytiloides kossmati (Heinz, 1930) and Mytiloides mytiloides (Mantell, 1822), ZI/45/3055, Shyrkala-Airakty section, bed 22 (see Marcinowski *et al.* 1996, text-fig. 6);
- E, G Mytiloides of the subhercynicus-hercynicus group Shyrkala-Airakty section, bed 22 (see Marcinowski et al. 1996, text-fig. 6); E – ZI/45/3056, G – ZI/45/3057.

All figures are natural size











# PLATE 2

Inoceramus lamarcki stuemckei Heinz, 1926, ZI/45/3000, Shakh-Bogota section, bed 12;  $\times\,0.9$ 







# PLATE 3

Inoceramids from the Upper Turonian of the Shakh-Bogota section

- A Mytiloides incertus (Jimbo, 1894), bed 14d;
- **B**, **C** *Mytiloides* sp., bed 14b, B ZI/45/3002, C ZI/45/3003;
  - **D** *Mytiloides costellatus* (Woods, 1912), ZI/45/3006, bed 12;
  - E Mytiloides striatoconcentricus (Gümbel, 1868), ZI/45/3004;
- **F-J** *Inoceramus inaequivalvis* Schlüter, F UW.ZI/45/3005, G, I UW.ZI/45/3007, H – UW.ZI/45/3008, J – UW.ZI/45/3009; F, J – bed 14b, G-I – bed 14c.

All specimens are natural size







PA

ACTA GEOLOGICA POLONICA, VOL. 63

IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 4

# PLATE 4

Mytiloides striatoconcentricus (Gumbel, 1868), bed 14b of the Shakh-Bogota section

- A ZI/45/3010, B ZI/45/3011, C ZI/45/3012, D ZI/45/3013, E ZI/45/3014,
- $\mathbf{F} = ZI/45/3015, \ \mathbf{G} = ZI/45/3016, \ \mathbf{H} = ZI/45/3017, \ \mathbf{I} = ZI/45/3018, \ \mathbf{J} = ZI/45/3019,$
- $K ZI/45/3020, \ L ZI/45/3021, \ M ZI/45/3022, \ N ZI/45/3023, \ O ZI/45/3024.$

All figures are natural size









J. . . . . . . . .

ACTA GEOLOGICA POLONICA, VOL. 63

IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 5

# PLATE 5

- $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{A-D, F-H}-\textit{Inoceramus sp. cf. Inoceramus longealatus Tröger, 1967; A-ZI/45/3025, \\ B-ZI/45/3026, C-ZI/45/3027, D-ZI/45/3028, F-ZI/45/3030, \\ G-ZI/45/3031, H-ZI/45/3032; all from bed 14b; \end{array}$ 
  - Inoceramus ex gr. inaequivalvis Schlüter, 1877, ZI/45/3029, bed 12;
  - J Mytiloides costellatus (Woods, 1912), ZI/45/3034, bed 12;
  - I, K-N Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis hannovrensis (Heinz, 1932), I – ZI/45/3033, K – ZI/45/3035, L – ZI/45/3036, M – ZI/45/3037, N – ZI/45/3038; all from hannovrensis Event, bed 14g;
    - **O**, **P** *Cremnoceramus deformis erectus* (Meek, 1877), O ZI/45/3039, P ZI/45/3040, both from bed 14g, *erectus* Event;
      - Q-Mytiloides sp., ZI/45/3041; all specimens from Shakh-Bogota section.

All figures are natural size







IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 6

# PLATE 6

- A *Mytiloides scupini* (Heinz, 1930), ZI/45/3042, Shakh-Bogota, bed 14e, just below the *Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis waltersdorfensis* Event;
- B, E Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis hannovrensis (Heinz 1932) [= Inoceramus lusatiae in Pavlova 1955, pl. 4, fig. 5]; Rubas-Tchai section, Daghestan;
  - C *Inoceramus lusatiae* Andert, 1911, ZI/45/3043, Sulu-Kapy section, bed 20, just below the *Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis waltersdorfensis* Event, topmost Turonian;
  - **D** *Cremnoceramus deformis erectus* (Meek, 1877) [= *Inoceramus inconstans* Woods in Dobrov and Pavlova, 1959, p. 137, pl. 5, fig. 1]; Tsmur-Tchai section, Daghestan;
- F-H Inoceramus sp., [= Inoceramus lusatiae Andert in Dobrov and Pavlova 1959, pl. 4, fig. 5]; Koarchag-Su, Daghestan.

All figures are natural size







IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 7

## PLATE 7

- A Cremnoceramus deformis erectus (Meek, 1877) [= Inoceramus schloenbachi Böhm in Dobrov and Pavlova 1959, pl. 8, fig. 2]; Sudahar section, Daghestan;
- B Inoceramus lusatiae Andert, 1911, ZI/45/3058, Sulu-Kapy section, bed 20, just below the Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis waltersdorfensis Event, topmost Turonian;
- C-E Tethyoceramus wandereri (Andert, 1911); C, D from Pavlova 1955, pl. 9, fig. 1, Tsmur-Tchai section, Daghestan; E – from Pavlova 1955, pl. 9, fig. 2; Dzengutay section, Daghestan;
  - F Inoceramus sp., [= Inoceramus wandereri Andert in Dobrov and Pavlova 1959, pl. 6, fig. 2]; Daghestan.

All figures are natural size



ACTA GEOLOGICA POLONICA, VOL. 63



IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 8

## PLATE 8

- A-Didymotis sp.; Sulu-Kapy, ZI/45/3045;
- B, E, F Cremnoceramus deformis erectus (Meek, 1877); B ZI/45/3046, Shakh-Bogota section, bed 14g, erectus Event; E specimen from the Tsudahar section, Daghestan [= Inoceramus schloenbachi Boehm in Dobrov and Pavlova 1959, pl. 8, fig. 1]; F ZI/45/3048, Shakh-Bogota section, bed 14g, erectus Event;
  - C *Tethyoceramus* sp., ZI/45/3047, Shakh-Bogota section, *crassus* Horizon, bed 14h;
  - D Cremnoceramus waltersdorfensis hannovrensis (Heinz, 1932) [= Inoceramus striatoconcentricus Gümbel in Pavlova 1955, pl. 5, fig. 1; in Dobrov and Pavlova 1959, pl. 2, fig. 2]; Kara-Koysu section; Daghestan.

All figures are natural size











IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 9

## PLATE 9

Cremnoceramus crassus crassus (Petrascheck, 1903), ZI/45/3049, Shakh-Bogota section, bed 14h, *crassus* Horizon; the figure is  $\times$  0.75



ACTA GEOLOGICA POLONICA, VOL. 63





IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 10

# PLATE 10

*Volviceramus involutus* (J. de C. Sowerby, 1829), ZI/45/3050, Shakh-Bogota section, unit 15, *involutus* Horizon; the figures is  $\times$  0.7





# PLATE 11

- A-C *Marginotruncana marginata* (Reuss, 1845); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 5; Upper Turonian;
- **D-E** *Globigerinelloides asper* (Ehrenberg, 1854); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 28, Lower Santonian;
- F-H Marginotruncana sinuosa (Porthault, 1970); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 14, Lower Coniacian;
- I-K *Dicarinella hagni* (Scheibnerova, 1962); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 4, Upper Turonian;
- L, M *Concavatotruncana primitiva* (Dalbiez, 1955); Sulu-Kapy section, sample 13, Coniacian;
- **N-P** *Globotruncana bulloides* Vogler, 1941; Sulu-Kapy section, sample 16, Upper Santonian.

Scale bar – 100  $\mu m$ 







## PLATE 12

- A-C *Whiteinella brittonensis* (Loeblich and Tappan, 1961); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 5, Upper Turonian;
  - **D** *Heterohelix globulusa* (Ehrenberg, 1840); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 14, Lower Coniacian;
- **E-G** *Archaeoglobigerina cretacea* (d'Orbigny, 1840); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 11, Lower Coniacian;
- H-J Marginotruncana pseudolinneiana Pessagno, 1967; Shakh-Bogota section, sample 11; Lower Coniacian; sample 14, Lower Coniacian;
- K-M Marginotruncana coronata (Bolli, 1945); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 12, Lower Coniacian;
- N-P Concavatotruncana concavata (Brotzen, 1934); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 18, Middle Coniacian.

Scale bar  $-100 \ \mu m$ 







## PLATE 13

- A-C Archaeoglobigerina blowi Pessagno, 1967; Shakh-Bogota section, sample 18, Middle Coniacian;
- **D-F** *Archaeoglobigerina bosquensis* Pessagno, 1967; Shakh-Bogota section, sample 22, Upper Coniacian;
- G-I *Contusotruncana fornicata* (Plummer, 1931); Sulu-Kapy section, sample 16, Upper Santonian;
- J-L Marginotruncana renzi (Gandolfi, 1942); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 16, Lower Coniacian;
- M-O *Marginotruncana coronata* (Bolli, 1945); Koksyrtau-Aksyrtau section, sample 8, Lower Coniacian;
  - P Heterohelix moremani (Cushman, 1938); Shakh-Bogota section, sample 20, Middle Coniacian.

Scale bar – 100  $\mu m$ 







IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 14

## PLATE 14

- A-C *Stensioeina emsherica* Baryshnikova, 1959; Koksyrtau-Aksyrtau section, sample 9, Lower Coniacian;
- **D-F** *Stensioeina exculpta exculpta* (Reuss, 1860); Koksyrtau-Aksyrtau section, sample 13, Lower Santonian;
- G-I Stensioeina incondita Koch, 1977; Koksyrtau-Aksyrtau section, Lower Santonian;
- J-L *Stensioeina pommerana* Brotzen, 1936; Sulu-Kapy section, sample 16, Upper Santonian;
- M, N Neoflabellina suturalis Cushman, 1936; Shakh-Bogota section, sample 29, Lower Santonian.

Scale bar – 100  $\mu m$ 







IRENEUSZ WALASZCZYK ET AL., PL. 15

# PLATE 15

## Stensioeina, Shakh-Bogota section

- **A-C** *Stensioeina emscherica* Baryshnikova, 1959, middle part of unit 15, Middle-Upper Coniacian; Scale bar = 30 μm;
- **D-F** *Stensioeina exsculpta exsculpta* (Reuss, 1860), middle part of unit 15, Middle-Upper Coniacian; Scale bar = 30 μm;
- G-I *Stensioeina exsculpta* cf. *gracilis* Koch, 1977, Middle Santonian; Scale bar = 100 μm;
- J-L *Stensioeina granulata incondita* Koch, 1977, Middle Santonian; Scale bar = 100 μm;
- **M-O** *Stensioeina pommerana* Brotzen, 1936, uppermost Santonian; Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m.





